Determination of dissolved nitric oxide in coastal waters of the Yellow Sea off Qingdao
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Abstract. We developed a new method for the determination of dissolved nitric oxide (NO) in discrete seawater samples based on the combination of a purge-and-trap setup and a fluorometric detection of NO. 2,3-diaminonaphthalene (DAN) reacts with NO in seawater to form the highly fluorescent 2,3-naphthotriazole (NAT). The fluorescence intensity was linear for NO concentrations in the range from 0.14 to 19 nmol L\(^{-1}\). We determined a detection limit of 0.068 nmol L\(^{-1}\), an average recovery coefficient of 83.8 % (80.2–90.0 %), and a relative standard deviation of ±7.2 %. With our method we determined for the first time the temporal and spatial distributions of NO surface concentrations in coastal waters of the Yellow Sea off Qingdao and in Jiaozhou Bay during a cruise in November 2009. The concentrations of NO varied from below the detection limit to 0.50 nmol L\(^{-1}\) with an average of 0.26 ± 0.14 nmol L\(^{-1}\). NO surface concentrations were generally enhanced significantly during daytime, implying that NO formation processes such as NO\(_2\) photolysis are much higher during daytime than chemical NO consumption, which, in turn, lead to a significant decrease in NO concentrations during nighttime. In general, NO surface concentrations and measured NO production rates were higher compared to previously reported measurements. This might be caused by the high NO\(^{-}\) surface concentrations encountered during the cruise. Moreover, additional measurements of NO production rates implied that the occurrence of particles and a temperature increase can enhance NO production rates. With the method introduced here, we have a reliable and comparably easy to use method at hand to measure oceanic NO surface concentrations, which can be used to decipher both its temporal and spatial distributions as well as its biogeochemical pathways in the oceans.

1 Introduction

As a reactive atmospheric trace gas, nitric oxide (NO) plays important roles in tropospheric chemistry: it is a key player in the formation of acid rain and ozone (Williams et al., 1992; Lee et al., 1997; Mazzeo et al., 2005). NO is an intermediate of both the terrestrial and marine nitrogen cycle (Ward and Zafiriou, 1988; Canfield et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2010; Thamdrup, 2012; Voss et al., 2013). It has a variety of sources in seawater, including nitrite photolysis and various microbial processes such as denitrification, anammox and dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonia (Law, 2001; Schreiber et al., 2012; Martens-Habbema et al., 2015). Because of its chemical reactivity, NO usually does not accumulate in large amounts in seawater and the ocean as a source of atmospheric NO is, therefore, negligible in a global context (Zehr and Ward, 2002; Bange, 2008). Moreover, NO was found to have significant effects on the growth of marine algae (Zhang et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2004, 2005, 2006, 2014). To this end, the determination of the spatial and temporal distributions of NO in the ocean, and deciphering its oceanic production processes and their major influencing factors is essential to
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improve our understanding of the biogeochemical cycling of NO in the ocean.

Because of its low concentrations in seawater caused by its fast diffusion and high chemical reactivity, measurements of NO in seawater are very difficult. Therefore, there are only a few methods available to determine NO (Hetrick and Schoenfisch, 2009) (see Table 1). The electrochemical method using sensors in seawater medium achieved a detection limit of 42 nmol L\(^{-1}\) (Xing et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2005). Olasehinde et al. (2009) developed a method for the determination of photochemically generated NO in natural waters adopting 4,5-diaminofluorescein as a probe compound and a measurement using reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with a fluorescence detector. The NO concentrations and signal intensities exhibited a good linearity correlation over the range of 0.025–10 nmol L\(^{-1}\) triazolofluorescein. Zafiriou and McFarland (1980) determined the NO concentration of seawater by using a flow system to equilibrate the seawater samples with a gas stream coupled to a chemiluminescence detector. They report an analytical precision of ±3 % and an accuracy of ±20 %. More recently, Lutterbeck and Bange (2015) developed an improved method of a chemiluminescence NO analyzer connected to a stripping unit, and the limit of detection was 0.25 nmol L\(^{-1}\) using a 20 mL seawater sample volume. Until now only these two chemiluminescence methods have been applied successfully to determine NO concentrations in seawater samples. The N-nitrosation of 2,3-diaminonaphthalene (DAN) results in the highly fluorescent compound and a measurement using reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with a fluorescence detector. The NO concentrations and signal intensities exhibited a good linearity correlation over the range of 1.4–1400 nmol L\(^{-1}\) (Miles et al., 1995). We adopted this method for seawater medium instead of NaOH medium and the calibration curve exhibited linearity over the concentration range of 1.4–1400 nmol L\(^{-1}\) NO (Liu et al., 2009). However, this assay cannot be used to detect trace levels of NO in seawater samples directly.

In this paper, we describe a modified spectrofluorometric method using a purge-and-trap technique, which can be used to quantify NO in seawater samples. This method was applied in a first field study on the distribution and production rates of dissolved NO in coastal waters of the Yellow Sea off Qingdao and Jiaozhou Bay.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Instrumental setup

The analytical system (Fig. 1) consists of a degassing column to purge NO from seawater samples, a reaction chamber where NO reacts to form a fluorescent compound, and a fluorescence spectrophotometer (F-4500, Hitachi Co., Japan). The 800 mL degassing column (6) has a sodium silicate bonded sand core at the bottom to disperse the nitrogen (N\(_2\)) purge gas stream. There are four ports on the column: (a) a gas port at the bottom of the degassing column where the high-purity N\(_2\) purge gas (1) (99.999 %, Qingdao Heli Industry Gas Center, China) or a NO standard gas mixture (11) (5.4 ppmv, NO / N\(_2\)) (Beijing Sida Standard Substance Co., China) is introduced, (b) a drain port (12) as outlet for water samples, (c) an inlet port where water samples (5) are pushed into the degassing column with N\(_2\) via a two-port valve (4), and (d) an outlet port on the top of the degassing column connected with the reaction chamber (7) via a three-port valve (8).

The NO standard gas cylinder is linked to the degassing column via a gas-tight syringe (9) (Shanghai Anting Injector Co., China). The N\(_2\) gas cylinder is connected to the degassing column via a deoxygenation tube (2) (Agilent Technologies, USA) to remove traces of O\(_2\) and a glass rotometer (3) to monitor the gas flow (0.1–1 L min\(^{-1}\), Jiangyin, China). These two gas streams enter the degassing column via the port at the bottom of the flask, controlled by a three-port valve (10). The tubing used is made of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE, tubing 1/8 in. outer diameter). Moreover, an ultraviolet–visible spectrophotometer (UV-2550, Shimadzu Co., Japan) and an automatic analytical balance (Beijing Sar-torius Co., China) were used in this work.

The degassing column, reaction chamber, and the syringe were degreased with organic solvents and rinsed several times with methanol and distilled water in order to minimize potential contamination and adsorption effects. The degassing column was initially cleaned with detergent, rinsed with water, acetone, methanol, and distilled water and then treated for 30 min with 10 % (v/v) HCl in an ultrasonic bath, followed by rinsing with distilled water. Subsequently, those parts of the setup which come into contact with the sample solutions were rinsed with methanol, water, HCl solu-

![Figure 1. The purge-and-trap system for the determination of dissolved nitric oxide in seawater. The components include N2 gas (1), deoxygenation tube (2), glass rotometer (3), 2-port valve (4), sample vial (5), degassing column (6), reaction chamber (7), 3-port valves (8 and 10), gas-tight syringe (9), NO standard gas (11), and drain port (12).](image-url)
tion, and dilute NaOH solution. No significant difference was found from the test of the setup loaded with a water sample and without a water sample (dry run).

2.2 Preparation of DAN and NO solutions

A 2,3-diaminonaphthalene (DAN, ≥ 95 %, GC, Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co., USA) stock solution was prepared fresh with a concentration of 10 mmol L⁻¹ in dimethylformamide (Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co., USA) and kept in the dark at −21 °C until used. DAN solutions of 40 µmol L⁻¹ were prepared from the stock solution in Milli-Q water, 10 mmol L⁻¹ NaOH aqueous solution, and filtered natural seawater. Natural seawater was sampled from the coastal waters off Qingdao and was filtered through a 0.45 µm acetate cellulose membrane (Millipore, USA). The DAN solutions were purged with N₂ gas for 30 min to remove oxygen (O₂), then stored on ice and transferred to a refrigerator at 4 °C before use.

An aliquot of 10 mL Milli-Q water was bubbled with N₂ gas at a flow of 10 mL min⁻¹ for 1 h to remove O₂ after 10 min of ultrasonic degassing. The solution was then bubbled with high-purity NO gas (99.9 %, Dalian Date Gas Ltd., China) for 30 min. The concentration of the saturated NO stock solution was 1.4 mmol L⁻¹, which could be used within 3 h (Lantoine et al., 1995). A series of diluted NO solutions were prepared in N₂-purged water from the NO stock solution using a syringe (Xing et al., 2005).

2.3 Fluorometric detection of NO

DAN reacts with NO₃⁻ (NO + NO₂) in an alkaline medium and thus forms the highly fluorescent 2,3-naphthotriazole (NAT) as follows:

\[
\text{2,3-Diaminonaphthalene (DAN)} \rightarrow \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{2,3-Naphthotriazole (NAT)}
\]

The reaction of NO and O₂ with 2,3-diaminonaphthalene (DAN) produced a fluorescent triazole. Although the mechanism of this fluorescence has not yet been established in detail, the fluorescence was reported to increase dose-dependently by NO addition (Nakatsubo et al., 1998). In seawater samples, the concentration of O₂ (10⁻⁴ M order of magnitude) was far higher than that of NO (10⁻¹⁰ M order of magnitude). Both of them were stripped out and reached the DAN solution finally; thus, the NO in samples could almost quantitatively transform into NAT. Based on this reaction, a fluorometric method was originally developed for the detection of NO in oxygenated media (Misko et al., 1993; Miles et al., 1995) and has been adapted to detect NO in seawater medium instead of aqueous NaOH medium. Our experiments showed that the DAN solution was stable for 12 h and the NAT solution did not change within 4 h. The wavelength for NAT excitation is 383 nm and the NAT emission is monitored at a wavelength of 410 nm (Liu et al., 2009).

2.4 The influence of nitrite in seawater on the reaction of DAN and NO

NO can be formed from nitrite (NO₃⁻) in seawater (Zafiriou and McFarland, 1981). Therefore, we tested a potential interference of dissolved NO₃⁻ by adding different concentrations of NO₃⁻ to seawater samples. The tests were conducted in the dark or with ultraviolet B (UV-B) radiation (HR 1 × 18 w, Xinghui Electric Instrument Factory, China). The final concentrations of NO₃⁻ in the seawater samples were set to 40, 80, 120, 160, and 200 µmol L⁻¹, and the reaction time was 1 or 12 h.

2.5 Sampling and analysis

Sampling was conducted aboard the R/V Dong Fang Hong 2 on a cruise to the coastal waters off Qingdao and Jiaozhou Bay from 4 to 6 November 2009. The locations of sampling stations are shown in Fig. 2. The surface seawater samples were collected from a depth of 1 m at 11 stations using 8 L Niskin bottles mounted on a Sea-Bird CTD rosette (Sea-Bird Electronics, Inc., USA). A time-course observation of 24 h was carried out at station S10 near the mouth of Jiaozhou Bay (see Fig. 2).

A 500 mL Wheaton glass serum bottle was rinsed with the seawater three times before it was filled with seawater quickly through a siphon. When the overflowed sample reached the half volume of the bottle, the siphon was withdrawn rapidly and 0.5 mL of saturated HgCl₂ solution was added to stop biological activities and the bottle was sealed quickly. All glass bottles were covered with aluminum foil to prevent NO₃⁻ photolysis during sampling.

Because NO reacts with O₂ both in the gas phase and in aqueous solution, we purged our setup for 1 h with N₂ gas and sealed it before the measurements. In a first step, a certain amount of standard NO gas was transferred to the reaction chamber via the degassing column by injecting it from a gas-tight syringe into the N₂ carrier gas stream. In the reaction chamber NO reacts with the DAN solution. After the measurement of the NO gas standard, a 500 mL seawater sample was injected into the degassing column and purged with N₂ gas and immediately transferred into the reaction chamber where it reacts with 10 mL of DAN solution. The gas flow was controlled to ensure that the reaction of NO with the DAN solution was completed. Finally, the fluorescence intensity of the resulting NAT solution was measured with the F-4500 fluorescence spectrophotometer.
Figure 2. Locations of the sampling stations in the coastal waters off Qingdao and Jiaozhou Bay.

Table 1. The methods for NO detection in seawater.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Linearity range (nmol L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Detection limit (nmol L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Analytical precision</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microelectrode</td>
<td>140–9900</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.24 %</td>
<td>Zhang et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microelectrode</td>
<td>1.4–1400</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>6.30 ‰</td>
<td>Xing et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microelectrode</td>
<td>0.4–4000</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Schreiber et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorescence</td>
<td>1.4–1400</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.63 %</td>
<td>Liu et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPLC with fluorescence</td>
<td>0.025–10</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>3–5 %</td>
<td>Olasehinde et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purge-and-trap with chemiluminescence</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>3 %</td>
<td>Zafiriou and McFarland (1980)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purge-and-trap with chemiluminescence</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3–25 %</td>
<td>Lutterbeck and Bange (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purge-and-trap with fluorescence</td>
<td>0.14–19</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>7.2 %</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to prevent NO photochemical generation, the entire glass parts were wrapped with aluminum foil. The purge-and-trap procedure was conducted at a room temperature of 20 °C.

2.6 \(O_2\), nutrients, DOC, and chlorophyll \(a\) measurements

Dissolved \(O_2\) (DO) concentrations were determined according to the Winkler method. The concentrations of dissolved nitrate, nitrite, and ammonia were measured by using an AutoAnalyzer 3 (SEAL Analytical, USA). The detection limits of the method were 0.003, 0.015, and 0.040 µmol L\(^{-1}\) for nitrate, nitrite, and ammonia, respectively, with the precision less than 1 %. The intensity of sunlight was monitored by the use of a TES-1322A actinometer (Taishi Co., Taiwan). Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) was determined by a high-temperature combustion method using a Shimadzu TOC-5000 analyzer with an Al–Pt catalyst (Shimadzu Co., Japan). The precision of the DOC measurements was less than 2 %. Concentrations of chlorophyll \(a\) were measured with a bbe cuvette fluorometer (bbe-Moldaenke GmbH, Kiel, Germany).

2.7 NO production rates

Experiments for NO production by \(NO_2^-\) photolysis were conducted at station 10 as follows: aliquots of 10 mL untreated seawater samples from a depth of 0.2 m or Millipore membrane (0.45 µm) filtered samples were distributed into three 14 mL glass vials. The initial concentrations of \(NO_2^-\) and DOC in seawater were 0.75 µmol L\(^{-1}\) and 439 µmol L\(^{-1}\) C, respectively. Then 200 µL of 20 % NaN\(_3\) solutions (instead of saturated HgCl\(_2\) solution to avoid contamination by the photosensitive Hg) and 20 µL of 1 mmol L\(^{-1}\) DAN solutions were added. The vials were sealed with rubber septa and aluminum crimp tops, and were exposed to sunlight on the deck at ambient temperature (17 °C) or at 13 ± 2 °C in a water bath supplied with the ambient seawater. For “dark” controls, vials were wrapped in aluminum foil. The intensity of sunlight ranged from 67 565 to 71 500 lx (average: 69 430 lx). After irradiation by sunlight for 30 min, the NO concentrations were measured with the method described above. The NO photolysis production rates were computed based on the time-dependent difference between the NO concentrations before and after irradiation.
We also measured NO production rates in natural seawater at station 10. Three transparent polyethylene buckets (3.5 L) were filled with the surface seawater from a depth of 0.2 m. The buckets were exposed to sunlight in the water bath on deck. The experiment began at 08:30 LT (local time) and the NO production rates and chlorophyll $a$ concentrations were concurrently measured at 2 h intervals. An aliquot of 10 mL of sample was collected from each bucket using a glass syringe, distributed and sealed in a 14 mL glass vial, and then incubated under the same conditions as the bucket samples. Three vials per sample were used in the experiments. After 30 min of incubation, solutions of 20 µL DAN (1 mmol L$^{-1}$) were injected into the vials. Finally, concentrations of NO were detected and NO production rates were calculated.

### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Method evaluation

NO is a conceptually important intermediate in N-cycle biogeochemistry, a product of ocean photochemistry, and a putative intercellular signal molecule. Unfortunately, our knowledge of oceanic NO distribution and the major pathways of NO is very poor. There are only a few published NO concentration measurements available because a reliable and easy to use method to determine dissolved NO at in situ concentrations in seawater samples is missing. We tried to find a promising method that is both convenient for laboratory and in situ observations with high sensitivity.

Both the purge time and flow of the purge gas (N$_2$) significantly influence the yield of the NO + DAN reaction and thus, the overall purge efficiency (see Table 2). The optimal (i.e., maximum) reaction yield was 85% after 30 min of purging at a flow of 400 mL min$^{-1}$. The error of these measurements (in triplicate) was in the range of 8–25%. As the measuring scheme is possibly not optimal yet, we may repeat the measurements with purge flow rates between 350 and 450 mL min$^{-1}$, especially around 400 mL min$^{-1}$. It might be possible to obtain even better results with fine tuning.

The setup was tested for internal NO production or loss by comparing the fluorescence intensity from NO-free gas or NO calibration gas passing through the degassing column with the fluorescence intensity from the same gas bypassing the degassing column. This procedure was repeated with both a dry degassing column and a moistening degassing column (by a minimum amount of filtered seawater). Neither NO production nor NO loss by adsorption was observed in the setup in all test runs.

Seawater samples from coastal waters off Qingdao were analyzed in the lab up to seven times and gave a relative standard deviation of ±7.2%. The detection limit of our method was determined to be 0.068 nmol L$^{-1}$ (S/N = 3), which is lower than most of the reported detection limits for NO measurements in seawater (see Table 1).

The NO recovery coefficient of our purge-and-trap system was estimated by the addition of the same volume of a NO standard solution to (i) 500 mL of NO-free seawater in the degassing column and (ii) 10 mL of DAN solution (with a DAN concentration of 40 µmol L$^{-1}$) in the reaction chamber. The recovery coefficient (RC) of NO was calculated according to the following:

$$\text{RC(\%)} = \frac{\text{NO(sw)}}{\text{NO(DAN)}} \times 100\%,$$

where NO(DAN) stands for the NO directly injected to the DAN solution and NO(sw) stands for the NO measured from the sample in degassing column according to the method described above. The rate law obtained from the oxidation of NO is

$$-\frac{d[\text{NO}]}{dt} = 4k[\text{NO}]^2[\text{O}_2],$$

with $k = 2 \times 10^6$ M$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. The reaction of NO with O$_2$ could consume NO in the stripping period. The NO recovery coefficients of the purge-and-trap system were evaluated and ranged from 80.2 to 90.0%, with an average of 83.8%. Furthermore, three replicates of in situ seawater were measured using our system and method; the aqueous NO solution did not change within 1 h, which was also demonstrated by Lutterbeck and Bange (2015).

In order to check the linearity of our method, a 10 mL solution of 40 µmol L$^{-1}$ DAN was injected into the reaction chamber and purged with N$_2$ gas at a rate of 10 mL min$^{-1}$ for 5 min prior to the actual measurements. A series of NO-free seawater samples placed in the degassing column were spiked with different volumes of the NO standard gas (mixing ratio 5.4 ppmv NO / N$_2$) and analyzed according to the procedure described above. The resulting fluorescence intensity was linear with the NO concentrations in the range from 0.14 to 19.0 nmol L$^{-1}$ ($y = 7.4286x + 0.6188$, $R = 0.9976$, $P < 0.0001$) (Fig. 3).

The results of the samples spiked with varying concentrations of dissolved NO$_2$ are given in Fig. 4. The blank had no signal when measured with the fluorescence spectrophotometer after UV-B irradiation or after being left in the dark; that is, the NO concentrations were zero. Nitrite did not cause significant problems with natural samples during the measurement process. In general, samples with the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purge flow rate (mL min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Reaction yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
same NO\textsubscript{2} concentration showed higher fluorescence when UV irradiated or kept in the dark for 12 h compared to samples under short-term (i.e., 1 h) UV irradiation or kept in the dark. This points to a significant NO production under UV irradiation (n = 5, F = 76.13, \(p = 2.32 \times 10^{-5}\)) and (albeit weaker) NO dark production from NO\textsubscript{2}. Higher NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations resulted in a slight increase in fluorescence when irradiated. Therefore, we conclude that the measurements of NO should be done in the dark as soon as possible after sampling when high NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations occur. Here, these high NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations were used to demonstrate no obvious effect caused by NO\textsubscript{2} on the detection method; thus, low concentrations of NO\textsubscript{2} also do not affect this method. On the other hand, the fluorescence intensity could not be detected with low concentrations of NO\textsubscript{2}.

To assess the influence of the interferences of dissolved organic matter, trace metals, nutrients, and other substances in seawater, the NO–fluorescence intensity relationship should be determined when the method is applied in different oceanic regions.

With our method, we are able to detect > 0.068 nmol L\textsuperscript{-1} NO in discrete seawater samples with a volume of 500 mL. With a larger degassing column, even lower concentrations of NO might be determined.

A U-shaped tube and cold bath (i.e., a water trap) was initially placed between the degassing column and the reaction chamber in order to eliminate small amounts of water carried by the N\textsubscript{2} gas stream. However, we found that the fluorescence intensities did not show significant differences when the water trap was removed.

Figure 3. Relationship between nitric oxide concentrations and fluorescence intensities.

Figure 4. The fluorescence variations of NAT in seawater with different concentrations of nitrite in the dark or under UV-B radiation.

Figure 5. The concentrations of NO in the surface water off Qingdao and Jiaozhou Bay.

3.2 Distribution of dissolved NO in coastal waters of Qingdao

Figure 5 shows the NO concentrations of surface seawater in coastal waters off Qingdao (stations S01–S09) and in the Jiaozhou Bay (stations S10 and S11). The concentrations of NO ranged from below the detection limit (D.L., stat. 02 and 03) up to 0.50 ± 0.01 nmol L\textsuperscript{-1} (stat. S08), with an overall mean of 0.26 ± 0.14 nmol L\textsuperscript{-1}. It is noteworthy that the higher NO concentrations seem to be related to the time point of sampling (given in local time, LT): samples for stations S02 and S03 were collected at nighttime at 22:30 and 00:50 LT, respectively, while samples for stations S05, S06, S07, and S08 were collected during the daytime (08:58–15:38 LT). (Stations S09 and S10 have been measured in Jiaozhou Bay and, thus, their NO concentrations are not directly compara-
Figure 6. The diurnal variations in NO concentrations and related parameters in the surface seawater at station 10.

Figure 7. The production rates of NO by seawater irradiation under natural light after different treatments. Treatment 1 is seawater irradiation at an ambient temperature of 17 °C, treatment 2 is 0.45 µm Millipore filtered samples, and treatment 3 is the incubation of non-filtered samples in a water bath at 13 °C.

Figure 8. The variation in NO production rates, chlorophyll a concentrations, and sunlight intensities in the incubation experiments using Qingdao coastal water.

difference is probably related to the concentrations of NO$_2^-$ in seawater. Zafiriou et al. (1980) proposed that sunlight photolyzes NO$_2^-$ in surface water by the following reaction:

$$\text{NO}_2^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{hv} \rightarrow \text{NO} + \cdot \text{OH} + \text{OH}^-.$$

According to the reaction above, high concentrations of NO$_2^-$ with strong solar irradiation could cause enhanced concentrations of NO in seawater. The sunlight intensity of the central equatorial Pacific is generally higher than that of coastal waters off Qingdao (located at 36°05'N); however, the coastal waters off Qingdao at the time of our measurements exhibited an average NO$_2^-$ concentration of 0.49 ± 0.25 µmol L$^{-1}$, which was much higher than that observed in the central equatorial Pacific Ocean (∼0.1 µmol L$^{-1}$).

NO is a short-lived intermediate of various microbial processes of the nitrogen cycle, which is involved in denitrification (Kampschreur et al., 2007), anammox (Kartal et al., 2011), and archaea ammonia-oxidizing processes (Martens-Habbena et al., 2015). Zafiriou and McFarland (1981) analyzed NO in seawater samples at the sea surface of the central equatorial Pacific by stripping NO into an air and N$_2$ stream by passing it through the chemiluminescence-type detector. Thus, the NO concentrations were underestimated.
to some extent because seawater samples were suboxic or anoxic. However, time-dependent losses from microbial processes were minimized. Lutterbeck and Bange (2015) improved the method above to determine dissolved NO in discrete seawater samples of the eastern tropical South Pacific Ocean. The contamination by O2 diffusion into the continuous samples could be further minimized. This work was also designed to detect dissolved NO in discrete seawater samples with a combination of a purge-and-trap setup and a fluorometric NO analyzer. The HgCl2 solution was added to stop biological activities during the stripping. However, the disposal of these Hg-contaminated solutions is a tough proposition. To improve the method, the purge-and-trap setup could be modified and the stripping time could be reduced, then the addition of HgCl2 solution may be removed in the future.

The diurnal variation in NO concentrations and other parameters in surface seawater for station 10 are shown in Fig. 6. Concentrations of NO presented a significant diurnal variation within 24 h. The peak value appeared at 15:00 LT with a concentration of 0.81 nmol L−1. After that, the concentration of NO decreased with time gradually until a minimum value occurred at 03:00. Obviously, the concentration of dissolved NO at this station was influenced by the in situ sunlight intensity. However, the maximum NO concentration appeared not at 12:00 but at 15:00 LT, which suggested that there were other influencing factors besides sunlight irradiation.

### 3.3 NO production rates in coastal waters

The results of the NO irradiation experiments are given in Fig. 7. The production rate of NO through seawater irradiation was 1.52 × 10−12 mol L−1 s−1, which is slightly higher than the NO production rate of the 0.45 µm Milli-pore filtered samples (1.46 × 10−12 mol L−1 s−1). The difference may indicate that particles in seawater could increase the NO production rate. The non-filtered samples incubated in the water bath had a lower NO production rate (1.44 × 10−12 mol L−1 s−1) compared to the other non-filtered treatment, which could be ascribed to the difference of the temperature. The ambient temperature and water bath were 17 and 13 °C, respectively; thus, the higher temperature may have resulted in a higher photolysis rate. The photochemical production rates of NO in Qingdao coastal waters during the daytime were generally higher than those reported from the central equatorial Pacific Ocean (0.4–1.2 × 10−12 mol L−1 s−1) (Zafiriou and McFarland, 1981).

Previous experiments about NO2 photolysis were also carried out in our laboratory (Li et al., 2011): the production of NO was observed after 3 h illumination of 10–100 µmol L−1 NO2 solutions in Milli-Q water. There was an increasing trend of NO concentrations with the NO2 concentrations. For natural seawater, it was observed to have an increasing trend of NO concentration with the illumination time (Li et al., 2011). The process of sunlight photolysis of NO2− in surface water was demonstrated, which was consistent with the results of Zafiriou et al. (1980) and Olasehinde et al. (2009).

The production and consumption of NO occur synchronously when sunlight photolyses natural seawater. During the photolysis of NO2−, mainly NO and OH are produced. On the other hand, the loss of NO happens by forming NO-reactive radicals from coloured dissolved organic matter (CDOM) (Zafiriou et al., 1990; Zafiriou and Dister, 1991; Olasehinde et al., 2009). The concentration of NO after exposure to sunlight is a balancing of this production against consumption by radical recombination. The study area has high concentrations of DOC and is rich in CDOM (Liu et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2011). Light might also induce NO losses by forming NO-reactive radicals from CDOM in irradiated waters during the irradiation experiments. Thus, the authentic NO resulting from NO2− photolysis was underestimated. The photochemical production rates of NO were only a total value of production and consumption in this study.

The on-deck incubation experiments for the production rates of NO in Qingdao coastal waters, together with chlorophyll a concentrations and sunlight intensities, are shown in Fig. 8. The production rates of NO exhibited a clear variation during the course of the day with a maximum value appearing at 14:30 LT. The maximum value of 2.52 × 10−12 mol L−1 s−1 was about 7-fold higher than the minimum value at 08:30 LT. The production rates of NO kept an increasing trend from 08:30 to 14:30 LT. The mean production rate in Qingdao coastal waters was 1.51 × 10−12 mol L−1 s−1 during the day. The variation in the production rates of NO did not follow the trends in chlorophyll a concentrations and solar radiation. Therefore, the production pattern of NO in marine environments deserves further research.

### 4 Summary

For the determination of NO concentrations in discrete seawater samples we developed a new method by combining a purge-and-trap setup with fluorometric detection of NO. The method showed a linear fluorescence intensity for NO concentrations ranging from 0.14 to 19 nmol L−1. The detection limit is 0.068 nmol L−1 (S/N = 3), the average recovery coefficient is 83.8% (80.2–90.0%), and the relative standard deviation is ±7.2%. Our method was applied to measure concentrations of NO in the surface layer of the coastal waters off Qingdao and Jiaozhou Bay. NO concentrations varied from below the detection limit to 0.50 nmol L−1, with an average of 0.26 ± 0.14 nmol L−1. The concentrations of NO in coastal waters off Qingdao were an order of magnitude higher than those in surface waters of the central equatorial Pacific. NO surface concentrations were generally enhanced significantly during daytime, implying that NO formation processes such as NO2− photolysis are much higher.
during daytime than chemical NO consumption, which, in turn, leads to the observed significant decrease in the NO concentrations during nighttime. The measurements of NO production rates showed that the occurrence of particles and an increase in temperature can enhance NO production.

We conclude that our method can be applied to measure (i) NO concentrations in the ocean surface, (ii) NO production and consumption pathways in oceanic waters, and (iii) NO production rates in biological culture experiments.
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