Simulations and observation of nonlinear internal waves on the continental shelf: Korteweg–de Vries and extended Korteweg–de Vries solutions
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Abstract. Numerical solutions of the Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) and extended Korteweg–de Vries (eKdV) equations are used to model the transformation of a sinusoidal internal tide as it propagates across the continental shelf. The ocean is idealized as being a two-layer fluid, justified by the fact that most of the oceanic internal wave signal is contained in the gravest mode. The model accounts for nonlinear and dispersive effects but neglects friction, rotation and mean shear. The KdV model is run for a number of idealized stratifications and unique realistic topographies to study the role of the nonlinear and dispersive effects. In all model solutions the internal tide steepens forming a sharp front from which a packet of nonlinear solitary-like waves evolve. Comparisons between KdV and eKdV solutions are made. The model results for realistic topography and stratification are compared with observations made at moorings off Massachusetts in the Middle Atlantic Bight. Some features of the observations compare well with the model. The leading face of the internal tide steepens to form a shock-like front, while nonlinear high-frequency waves evolve shortly after the appearance of the jump. Although not rank ordered, the wave of maximum amplitude is always close to the jump. Some features of the observations are not found in the model. Nonlinear waves can be very widely spaced and persist over a tidal period.

1 Introduction

Internal waves (IW) are present throughout earth’s oceans wherever there is stratification, from the shallowest nearshore waters to the deepest seas. IWs are important to physical oceanographers because they transport momentum and energy, horizontally and vertically, through the ocean (e.g. Munk, 1981; Gill, 1982). They provide shear to turbulence, which results in energy dissipation and vertical mixing (e.g. Holloway, 1984; Sandstrom and Elliott, 1984). Biological oceanographers are interested because the IWs carry nutrients onto the continental shelf and into the euphotic zone, (e.g. Shea and Broenkow, 1982; Sandstrom and Elliott, 1984; Holloway et al., 1985). They are of interest to geological oceanographers because the waves produce sediment transport on the shelf (e.g. Cacchione and Drake, 1986). Civil, hydraulic and ocean engineers are also interested because of the IWs tidal and residual currents (e.g. Willmott and Edwards, 1987), which can cause scour on nearshore as well as offshore structures (e.g. Osborne et al., 1978). Large nonlinear IWs are also of interest to the navy because they cause large vertical displacements and large vertical velocities that may affect underwater operations.

This study is focused on the internal tide and subsequent evolution of nonlinear waves. IWs in the ocean span the frequency spectrum from the buoyancy frequency, \( N \), to the inertial frequency, \( f \). However, the internal, or baroclinic, tide accounts for a large fraction of the energy contained in these waves. The internal tide is generated by the interaction of barotropic tidal current with topography and not directly by the gravitational attraction of sun and moon. The properties
and propagation of linear internal tide and waves have been treated in detail by many investigators, see, for example, Garrett and Munk (1979), or the monographs by Gill (1982), Lighthill (1978), or Apel (1987). As the internal tide shoals, the nonlinearity of fluid flow can cause these tidal waves of finite amplitude to evolve into packets of high-frequency nonlinear waves. The equations describing these waves are much more complex than the linear equations and few mathematical solutions have been found.

We are interested in nonlinear IWs because they are a very energetic part of the signal in time series that we have observed on continental shelves and in the shallow ocean. We are guided by numerical solutions of Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) type equations that incorporate both weak nonlinear and weak dispersive effects. The state of the art of the evolution of internal solitary waves (ISWs) across the continental shelf is reviewed in Grimshaw et al. (2010), Grimshaw et al. (2004) simulated the transformation of ISWs across the North West Shelf of Australia, the Malin shelf edge and the Arctic shelf; Holloway (1987) discussed the evolution of the internal tide in a two-layer ocean on the Australian North West Shelf. Our model simulations of the evolution of the internal tide across the Middle Atlantic Bight topography are unique since these waves have never been modelled across such topography and stratifications, and the model results are compared with observations made at moorings off Massachusetts during the Coastal Mixing and Optics (CMO) experiment. Whereas most modelling studies regarding wave propagation over linearly sloping bottom and realistic topography have focused on the behaviour of a single soliton, this work is concerned with the development and evolution of a packet of solitary waves.

The goal of this paper is to study the observed variability in the evolution of the internal tide as it crosses the continental shelf resulting from different stratifications and varying topography. In Sect. 2, the model framework is presented, and model runs and results of simulations are discussed for cases of linearly sloping bottom topography and that at the site of the CMO. Model results are compared with data and observations collected at the CMO site in Sect. 3. A summary and conclusions are presented in Sect. 4.

2 Two-layer model

We are interested in modelling the evolution of the internal tide as it propagates shoreward from the shelf break. Since the greatest oceanic signal is the first internal mode, the stratification of the continental shelf/slope region is modelled as a two-layer fluid. This approximation greatly simplifies the problem; the numerical scheme is much less complex for the two-layer case than the continuously stratified case, and the results are easier to interpret. Using this model configuration, we study the propagation of the internal tide over linear sloping and CMO topography. All cases have been run within the quadratic nonlinear framework of the KdV equation, and the results are compared with an extended form of it, the eKdV Eq. (1) model, written as

\[ n_t + c n_x + (\alpha + \alpha_1 \eta) n_x + \beta n_{xxx} + \frac{c}{2Q} \frac{dQ}{dx} \eta = 0, \]  

which reduces to the KdV equation when \( \alpha_1 = 0 \). The term \( Q \) accounts for the horizontal variability of the ocean depth (see, e.g., Zhou and Grimshaw, 1989; Pelinovsky et al., 1977; Holloway et al., 1997) and is given by \( Q = \frac{M c^3}{\rho g_0} \), with \( M = \frac{h_1 + h_2}{h_1^2} \), where \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \) are upper and lower layer thicknesses, respectively, and the zero subscript indicates a constant value at a predetermined starting position. The coefficients of the KdV and eKdV equations are greatly simplified for a two-layer fluid and are written (e.g. Ostrovsky and Stepanyants, 1989)

\[ c = \sqrt{\frac{g \Delta \rho}{\rho} \frac{h_1 h_2}{h_1 + h_2}}; \quad \alpha = \frac{3}{2} \frac{h_1 - h_2}{h_1 h_2}; \quad \beta = \frac{h_1 h_2}{6}; \]

\[ \alpha_1 = -\frac{3c}{8h_1^2 h_2^2} \left( h_1^2 + h_2^2 + 6h_1 h_2 \right). \]

For the KdV and eKdV equations to be valid, the leading two terms must constitute the primary balance. The nonlinear and dispersive terms can become important, but the assumptions leading to the KdV and eKdV equations are violated if either of the nonlinearity or dispersion terms approach the magnitude of the leading terms. Nonlinear steepening of the internal tide leads to the generation of a packet of short nonlinear waves which tend to become solitary-like in form as the dispersive term becomes important.

Note, the KdV equation is well known to be a suitable physical model for describing weakly nonlinear advective effects and linear dispersion in IWs. It was originally developed by Benney (1966) and extended to second order by Lee and Beardsley (1974). The KdV and eKdV Eq. (1) equations are derived following the procedure of Lee and Beardsley (1974) and the discussion by Lamb and Yan (1996). The two-layer KdV model approximation is discussed in Grimshaw et al. (2002), and justified since most of the energy in the ocean appears to be contained in the first mode anyway (see e.g. Alford and Zhao, 2007). The problem has been investigated for slowly varying topography and stratification by Grimshaw (1979) and Pelinovsky et al. (1977). An interesting reference is Lamb and Xiao (2014), who took a similar approach to ours, comparing predictions of the KdV and eKdV models, and also the regularized long-wave (RLW) equation, with fully nonlinear numerical simulations for two-layer stratification over selected topographies. See O’Driscoll (1999) for a full discussion of our experiments. For all simulations the density difference between the two layers is chosen to be a constant: \( g \Delta \rho / \rho = 0.014 \text{ m s}^{-2} \), a representative value for the CMO experiment (Levine and Boyd, 1999), for example at a mooring in the Middle Atlantic.
Bight located at 40.5° N, 70.5° W, and also in agreement with the stratification near the mooring location displayed in Barth et al. (1998).

### 2.1 The Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) model solutions

Using the KdV equation, we investigate two cases with a constant-sloping bottom, one with a horizontal interface and one with a sloping interface, and finally make model runs with realistic topography at the CMO site.

For convenience in solving the equation, we avail of a transformation, utilized by Pelinovsky and Shavratsky (1976), of the space and time variables \( x \) and \( t \) to variables \( l \) and \( s \), respectively, given by

\[
s = \int_0^x \frac{dx}{c(x)} - t, \quad l = x. \tag{2}
\]

The transformed eKdV is then

\[
\zeta_l + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{1}{Q} (\alpha + \alpha_1 \zeta) \zeta_s + \frac{\beta}{c} \zeta_{sss} = 0, \tag{3}
\]

where \( \zeta = \eta \sqrt{Q/l} \) and \( Q \) is the same as for Eq. (1). The transformation scales time so that disturbances travelling at the linear speed, \( c \), remain at constant \( s \). The system is often referred to as a slowness coordinate system. Because \( \zeta \) varies relatively slowly in \( l/c \) compared to \( s \), terms such as \( c \zeta_l \) are neglected relative to \( \zeta_s \). The transformed KdV equation is the same as the transformed eKdV equation with \( \alpha_1 = 0 \). We employ the same finite difference scheme as Holloway et al. (1997) to solve the eKdV Eq. (3) numerically. The finite difference scheme is a central difference method (e.g. Lapidus and Pinder, 1982), which was first developed for the KdV equation by Berezin (1987), and for the variable coefficients KdV by Pelinovsky et al. (1994). See O’Driscoll (1999) for further details.

#### 2.1.1 Constant bottom slope

The propagation of the internal tide along constant-sloping topography was studied for cases of constant upper-layer thicknesses (Case A) and sloping interface (Case B), both of which are possible on continental shelves. We chose the starting layer thicknesses at \( l = 0 \) to be \( h_1 = 50 \text{ m} \) and \( h_2 = 150 \text{ m} \), with a bottom slope of 1 : 1000 so that total depth decreases from 200 to 0 m over a distance of 200 km. Note \( \alpha_1 = 0 \) for experiments discussed in Sect. 2.1.

We first consider the case of constant-sloping bottom with fixed upper-layer thickness \( h_1 = 50 \text{ m} \), Case A. The value of \( c \) decreases in shallow water, while \( \alpha \to 0 \) as \( h_2 \to h_1 \) at a water depth of 100 m (Fig. 1a). Seaward of this depth \( h_2 > h_1 \), \( \alpha < 0 \) and solitary waves are waves of depression, whereas shoreward of this depth \( h_2 < h_1 \), \( \alpha > 0 \) and solitary waves exist as waves of elevation only. \( \beta \to 0 \) as the product \( h_2 h_1 \to 0 \). As the magnitude of \( \alpha \) is initially relatively large we expect the sinusoidal internal tide to transform rapidly resulting in the formation of several nonlinear waves. Since \( \alpha \to 0 \), these waves may not be so nonlinear as to violate the weakly nonlinear constraint on the KdV model. However, because the value of \( \alpha \) rapidly increases for \( l > 100 \text{ km} \), we expect the waves of elevation to become highly nonlinear thereby possibly violating the weakly nonlinear condition.

Figure 1b and c show the internal tide signal for Case A at increasing \( l \) and decreasing depth. The internal tide steepens from a sinusoidal at the open boundary, rapidly becoming nonlinear, resulting in the generation of a shock-like front and subsequent undulations by \( l \approx 50 \text{ km} \). Shoaling further, the internal tide becomes more nonlinear with the oscillations starting to resemble solitary waves by \( l = 70 \text{ km} \). However, the waves never develop into mature ISWs since the magnitude of \( \alpha \) continually decreases. By \( l = 90 \text{ km} \) the waves resemble a symmetric, dispersive packet. Initially the relatively large magnitude of \( \alpha \) resulted in the rapid steepening of the internal tide. Approaching \( l = 100 \text{ km} \) the waves have switched polarity; they have become waves of elevation, a result of \( \alpha \) having become positive. This transition is seen in Fig. 2 where the leading waves are compared with sech\(^2\) solitary form. Beyond 100 km the waves rapidly approach solitary waves of elevation since \( \alpha \) becomes large quickly.

As the internal tide propagates into shallow water the front of the wave trough steepens but the decreasing magnitude of \( \alpha \) causes this steepening to slow down and there is virtually no change in wave slope steepness between 70 and 90 km. The rate of change of the slope of the leading face of the trough changes sign when \( \alpha \) becomes positive and the slope decreases rapidly, while the back face of the internal tide slackens. Figure 1c gives a clear picture of the wave speed: the leading solitary-type wave initially travels with speed very slightly greater than \( c \) but becomes slower than \( c \) when \( l \sim 90 \text{ km} \).

The leading waves are slightly more nonlinear than dispersive when \( l \approx 70 \text{ km} \) but become less so as \( l \) approaches 100 km. When \( \alpha = 0 \) \( l = 10 \text{ km} \) the value of the nonlinear term is zero and the waves look like a dispersive packet. Since \( \alpha > 0 \) for \( l > 100 \text{ km} \), the nonlinear term is again a factor and the waves become a hybrid by \( l = 115 \text{ km} \), interchanging back and forth across the length of the wave between being more nonlinear and dispersive. The waves travel slower than \( c \) since the magnitude of the dispersive term is slightly greater than the nonlinear term.

For Case B with constant-sloping bottom and sloping upper layer, we also begin in 200 m water with \( h_1 = 50 \text{ m} \) and \( h_2 = 150 \text{ m} \). In this instance, the bottom slope is again 1 :
1000 and the interface slope is 1:4000 such that both layers vanish simultaneously at \( l = 200 \text{ km} \). KdV parameter values are shown in Fig. 3a. The magnitude of \( \alpha \) increases from \( l = 0 \) all the way to the shallowest water, unlike Case A where \( \alpha \) passes through zero, so we expect a wave train to develop sooner than for Case A, and any solitary waves to remain as waves of depression. This combination of events will result in the weakly nonlinear, dispersive KdV equation becoming invalid at \( l = 95 \text{ km} \). Figure 3b is a plot of the internal tide for Case B at several values of \( l \). The internal tide steepens rapidly and a shock-like wave, followed by undulations, has evolved from the transforming tide by \( l = 40 \text{ km} \). The internal tide continues to steepen and several nonlinear waves have formed by \( l = 55 \text{ km} \). These leading nonlinear waves mature into rank-ordered solitary waves by 65 km, a feature observed out to 95 km. Grimshaw and Yuan (2016) have recently shown that the rank ordering is retained in shoaling waters as long as the waves do not encounter a critical point of polarity change on the waves of depression, i.e. \( \alpha < 0 \) always. This was not the case for Case A (above, Fig. 1; and CMO, below, Fig. 4). Figure 3c shows that most of the solitary waves eventually travel with phase speed greater than \( c \). The waves are more nonlinear than dispersive and the increasing value of the nonlinear parameter combined with the diminishing value of the dispersive parameter leads to the model becoming numerically unstable (O’Driscoll, 1999).
Figure 2. Case A, leading waves of elevation (black line) at various distances \( l > 100 \text{ km} \) from the boundary plotted with individual \( \text{sech}^2 \) waves (blue lines) within the KdV model framework.

2.1.2 Realistic topography and stratification

The CMO site was located in the Middle Atlantic Bight. Conductivity, temperature, and depth (CTD) profiles were made across the continental shelf from shallow water to beyond the continental slope. Boyd et al. (1997) have concluded that the internal tide at the site is primarily a first mode IW, further justifying our choice of a two-layer model. An upper-layer thickness of 25 m is a representative average value for the duration of the experiment (July and August 1996).

Figure 4a shows KdV parameter values as a function of \( l \). Though undulating, the bottom topography is similar to the constant-sloping bottom cases. Recall that we chose an upper-layer depth of 50 m for Case A, whereas here we have chosen \( h_1 = 25 \text{ m} \). \( \alpha \) starts out negative with relatively large magnitude. The magnitude decreases, similar to Case A, changing sign as the bottom shoals and \( h_1 > h_2 \) when the value increases rapidly. Values of \( \beta \), \( c \) and the horizontal variability parameter, \( Q \), are similar to Case A. Figure 4b and c show results for tidal forcing of amplitude 2 m at 180 m water depth. The internal tide evolves similarly to Case A. A shock-like front has formed on the front of the internal tide wave trough at \( l = 40 \text{ km} \). Several nonlinear waves have formed by \( l = 60 \text{ km} \) (mooring location) with the leading 4–5 waves appearing like solitary waves of depression and the trailing waves looking more like a dispersive packet, i.e. the leading waves travel faster than \( c \), to the left for increasing \( l \) in Fig. 4c. Several more waves have formed by 80 km but the number of solitary-like waves seems to have been reduced to the leading two waves. All of the trailing waves do appear as a dispersive packet since the magnitude of \( \alpha \) has decreased. More waves continue to form but by 100 km the packet is neither a pack of waves of elevation nor depression, not unlike Case A. Beyond \( l = 125 \text{ km} \) \( \alpha \) becomes large, the waves reverse polarity and rapidly develop into mature solitary waves of elevation. The results show that the CMO case and Case A are similar, though more solitary waves have formed for the CMO case, due to the fact that at the CMO site the value of \( \alpha \) is initially twice that of Case A. The internal tide becomes numerically unstable beyond \( l = 130 \text{ km} \). Figure 4c is a plot of the evolution of the internal tide as it propagates over the continental shelf, increasing in \( l \). The leading solitary-like waves initially travel with speed very slightly greater than \( c \), as in Case A. The waves slow down to travel at speed \( c \) where \( l \approx 90 \text{ km} \) and \( \alpha \) is very small. Wave speed then becomes slightly slower than \( c \) but faster and more complicated than Case A, due to the undulating topography.

2.2 The extended Korteweg–de Vries (eKdV) model

The model runs discussed in Sect. 2.1 were also made with the eKdV equation. The ratio of the nonlinear parameters \( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_1} = \frac{4}{h_1 h_2 (h_1^2 + h_2^2 + 6 h_1 h_2)} \) (see e.g. Ostrovsky and Stepanyants, 1989) is the theoretical maximum amplitude for the solitary wave solution to the eKdV equation. The ratio of the quadratic to cubic nonlinear terms in this equation depends upon displacement height, \( \eta \), and is given by \( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_1} \). For Case A, the ratio \( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_1} \) passes through zero \( (h_1 = h_2; \text{Fig. } 5) \) and we expect the cubic nonlinear term to be important. The results of this model run are shown in Fig. 6. The internal tide evolves similar to the KdV case (Fig. 1b) with a shock-type wave followed by several nonlinear oscillations on the back face of the internal tide at \( l = 50 \text{ km} \). The internal tides in both frameworks look similar at 70 km where several nonlinear waves of depression have been formed. The KdV solitary-like waves flip polarity at 100 km due solely to the fact that \( \alpha \) changes sign there.

For the CMO case, a comparison of KdV and eKdV results shows a more significant difference than for Case A. Figure 7a–c show the KdV and eKdV model results for a 4 m internal tide having propagated 60 km to a water depth of 69 m. The leading KdV model solitary wave (solid line) arrives at the CMO central mooring \( \sim 0.1 \text{ tidal period} \) ahead of the leading eKdV model solitary wave (broken line). The KdV and eKdV models are so different at the CMO site when compared to Case A because the magnitude of \( \alpha_1 \) is greater at the CMO site. Though the magnitude of \( \alpha \) is less in Case A, the fact that the magnitude of \( \alpha_1 \) is so small when compared to \( \alpha \) means the addition of the cubic nonlinear term does little to change the KdV results. This is not true at the CMO site where the greater magnitude of \( \alpha_1 \) is the reason for the difference between the KdV and eKdV frameworks, particularly as the internal tide propagates into shallower water and the magnitude of the ratio \( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_1} \) is much greater for Case A, where \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \) are, respectively, twice and less than that at the CMO site. Comparing the leading waves from the eKdV and KdV solutions reveals a fundamental difference in wave form; the KdV waves are taller and thinner (Fig. 7c). Solitary-type solutions to the KdV (\( \text{sech}^2 \)) and to the eKdV
Table-top waves for the local values of parameters $h_1$, $h_2$ and $g\Delta \rho/\rho$. For KdV the leading wave of the 2 m tide always has amplitude greater than the second and the amplitudes of subsequent waves decrease in a rank ordered fashion. The leading wave is slightly thicker than the trailing ones, which are all approximately equal in width. For the eKdV, the leading wave has larger amplitude and is thicker than the trailing waves. For the KdV model with 4 m amplitude tide, all the waves fall on the same spot on the sech$^2$ curve. For the eKdV model with 4 m amplitude tide, the waves appear on the “thick” side of the sech$^2$ curve with the lead wave the most removed from the KdV theoretical curve. The same is true for amplitudes of 5 and 6 m. The eKdV model waves appear to be evolving toward the theoretical eKdV curve. Note that the amplitude of many of these waves exceeds the maximum amplitude of table-top waves of 9 m as determined by the local parameters at the CMO site.

Figure 3. Same as Fig. 1 but for Case B (constant-sloping bottom with sloping interface).
To learn more about the evolution of a sine wave to waves with sech$^2$ and table-top forms, we ran the model with constant parameters (flat bottom) using values at the mooring site. The runs were made with initial tidal amplitudes of 1, 2 and 4 m in both KdV and eKdV frameworks and the width vs. amplitude for the first and second wave in each packet are plotted at various increments of $l$ (Fig. 8b). The KdV waves grow in amplitude with approximately constant width before turning to hug the theoretical KdV line. They then decrease in amplitude while increasing slightly in thickness. Though the KdV model waves continue to evolve, most of them can be well approximated as being “sech$^2$” waves after $\sim 100$ km. For the eKdV case, the waves are initially close to the theoretical sech$^2$ KdV curve. The waves move slowly toward the theoretical eKdV curve, ultimately decreasing in amplitude and increasing in thickness. The last points have been plotted after the internal tide has propagated $\sim 240$ km.

It appears that these waves are evolving toward table-top form, but mature over a relatively long distance. Also, the amplitudes of the waves are greater than the theoretical eKdV maximum but their magnitudes decrease as the tide evolves.

Another investigation to explore the evolution in the eKdV model (constant parameters) was made using an initial condition of a sech$^2$ wave, the solitary wave solution to the KdV equation. sech$^2$ amplitudes of 4, 7, 9 and 13 m (Fig. 8c) were chosen. The sech$^2$ waves are rapidly transformed to table-top waves, e.g. the 4 examples plotted reach the theoretical eKdV curve after the wave has propagated about 10 km. A solitary sech$^2$ wave evolves much more rapidly to table-top form (Fig. 8c), as opposed to when it is part of a packet of waves (Fig. 8b). The reason for this has not been thoroughly investigated, but provides caution for treating a packet as a group of non-interacting waves.

Figure 4. Same as Fig. 1 but for CMO experiment site (with flat interface, $h_1 = 25$ m).
3 Observations of nonlinear internal waves

The data to be presented and discussed were collected during the CMO; for location see Fig. 9. The CMO experimental field program was conducted to increase our understanding of the role of vertical mixing processes in determining the mid-shelf vertical structure of hydrographic and optical properties. The field program was conducted on a wide shelf so as to reduce the influences of shelf break and nearshore processes. The data we discuss was collected from the CMO central mooring in July and August 1996, a time when a strong thermocline is present as a result of large-scale surface heating (Boyd et al., 1997).

Observations during the coastal mixing and optics experiment

The central mooring of the CMO experiment was located at 40°29.50′N, 70°30.46′W in a water depth of 69 m. A total of 24 temperature recorders and five conductivity sensors were distributed along the mooring. Currents were measured at 14 depths from an acoustic Doppler current profiler (ADCP) placed a few metres above the bottom. Boyd et al. (1997) have calculated the first mode IW amplitude from the velocity time series for the period 29 July to the 31 August 1996 (calendar day 210–245; Fig. 10i). The dominant barotropic tidal signal in the Middle Atlantic Bight is semi-diurnal, and is strongest over the period day 241–245 during spring tide (Fig. 11). A semi-diurnal signal is apparent in the first mode record, particularly during the spring tide period. A spectrum of the first mode amplitude (Fig. 12) shows energy peaks at both low and high tidal frequencies. Much of the high-frequency energy is due to bursts or pulses of high-frequency nonlinear IWs that occur for a short period during the semi-diurnal tidal cycle. These nonlinear IWs propagate shoreward across the continental shelf to the south of Martha’s Vineyard. The energy at high frequency is greater over the period day 241–245 during spring tides (Fig. 12). There is a clear maximum in energy at 2 cpd (cycles per day) over this period, and a significant amount of energy is also contained at 4 cpd. The energy rapidly drops for frequencies greater than 4 cpd but there is a significant increase in energy at ∼ 50 and ∼ 90 cpd. To help interpret these observations, we compare them with the two-layer eKdV model using the CMO parameters. Since we do not know where the internal tide is generated or its amplitude, the model was run assuming a sinusoidal internal tide at distances of 24, 48 and 60 km seaward of the mooring site. Three initial amplitudes of 2, 4 and 6 m were used at each distance. Figure 10iii shows the internal tide as it appears at the CMO mooring site for these nine cases. In all instances, the leading face of the crest of the periodic sinusoidal wave slackens (or flattens) as the internal tide propagates shoreward. This is followed by a steepening of the back face, which develops into a shock-like front. The shock-like front is followed by oscillations, which subsequently evolve into a packet of solitary-like waves.

This same pattern can often be seen in the observed time series of the first internal mode. Figure 13 shows several individual jumps at the CMO mooring. Figure 13i (a) shows first modes which best match the model results of Fig. 10iii. Some features of the observations compare well with the model.
Figure 7. CMO experiment site (realistic topography with level interface, \( h_1 = 25 \) m) with amplitude of the internal mode for two-layer fluid at 60 km in 69 m depth of water (CMO mooring site). (a) Comparison of KdV (solid line) and eKdV (dashed line) solutions. (b) Close up of (a). (c) Leading KdV model waves (solid line) with superimposed eKdV model waves (dashed line) shifted forward in time (\( s \)) so that the leading waves coincide. (d, e) The leading wave of depression (solid line) plotted with an individual sech\(^2\) wave (dot-dash line) and with an individual table-top wave (dashed line) for KdV model (d), and eKdV model (e).

Figure 8. Width vs. amplitude of the leading waves of the KdV and eKdV solutions at the CMO mooring site (\( h_1 = 25 \) m, \( h_2 = 44 \) m) at 60 km from the boundary in 69 m depth of water. Results for initial tidal amplitudes of 2, 4, 5 and 6 m are shown. The theoretical values for sech\(^2\) and table-top waves using local parameters are also shown (dotted lines). The width is calculated at 42 \% of the total amplitude. (b) Evolution of the width vs. amplitude of the two leading waves of the KdV and eKdV solutions for flat bottom (\( h_1 = 25 \) m, \( h_2 = 44 \) m) with same parameters as at the CMO site. Results for initial tidal amplitudes of 1, 2 and 4 m are shown. A value is plotted every 10 km for the 1 m tide beginning at 160 km and the lines run from 160 to 260 km. A value is plotted every 20 km for the 2 m tide beginning at 80 km and the lines run from 80 to 200 km. A value is plotted every 20 km for the 4 m tide beginning at 40 km and the lines run from 40 to 100 km. The theoretical width vs. amplitude for sech\(^2\) and table-top waves are also shown (dotted lines), and the width is calculated at 42 \% of the total amplitude. (c) Evolution of the width vs. amplitude of four solitary sech\(^2\) waves of the eKdV solutions for flat bottom (\( h_1 = 25 \) m, \( h_2 = 44 \) m) with same parameters as at the CMO site. Results are shown for sech\(^2\) amplitudes of 4, 7, 9 and 13 m. A value is plotted every 1 km up to a maximum distance of 15 km. The theoretical width vs. amplitude for sech\(^2\) and table-top waves are also shown (dotted lines). The width is calculated at 42 \% of the total amplitude.
shown that rotation effects can become important after one or more inertial periods. However, in this model-to-observation comparison, the waves have travelled for not much longer than one inertial period, and rotation has been ignored in the model runs. It is also possible that multiple packets form each tidal period, due to different generation mechanisms such as multiple tidal constituents or harmonics of tidal components as found, for example, at the site of the Littoral Optics Experiment, where the 4th harmonic of the semi-diurnal tide was used to successfully simulate the evolution of the internal tide (O’Driscoll, 1999).

Another common observation that is not found in the model results is a “drop” in amplitude before the jump that occurs at the beginning of the wave packet. Figure 13i (h) shows that the first internal mode drops between day 243.5 and 243.6 but the slackening slope is restored before the arrival of the jump and packet of solitary waves. Similar “drops” also occur in Fig. 13i (b and e) and Fig. 13ii (i). Another phenomenon observed is that the slope of the leading face of the tide changes sign before the packet in several of the examples in Fig. 13ii. In Fig. 13ii (h) the low-frequency slope changes sign at day 236, and the solitary waves appear as usual ahead of the trailing, low-frequency signal. The signal becomes even more complicated when both a “drop” and low-frequency slope change are present, e.g. Fig. 13ii (d). In this case, the slope of the leading slackening low frequency signal changes sign at day 242.5 and is followed by a packet of four solitary waves. The low-frequency signal is restored before the passage of a jump followed by a packet of five large solitary waves. The trailing face retains the slope of the low-frequency signal. Figure 13iii shows a series of jumps which are more complex than those in the top panels, though they retain the basic structure of the model results over the tidal period.

To examine the details of the wave packets themselves, the width vs. amplitude was estimated for each wave from all events during the period day 210–245 (Fig. 14). These waves are plotted along with the leading two waves from six of the nine model runs shown in Fig. 10iii. Also shown are the theoretical relations for solitary waves for the eKdV and KdV equations using CMO site parameters. The observed nonlinear waves vary greatly in amplitude and width (s). Larger amplitude observed waves are well approximated by model runs with large initial amplitude, particularly the 4 m model. The 6 m model run from 24 km seaward of the CMO site is also a very good match for several of the observed waves. A large fraction of observed waves with amplitude less than 15 m, and particularly less than 10 m, are much “thinner” than model waves with similar amplitudes. However, it seems reasonable to say that the observed waves are a good fit to the model waves.

While some features of the observations are reproduced in the model, there are many differences. The eKdV model used here is highly idealized. There are many effects that have not been considered, including bottom and internal friction, earth’s rotation and mean shear. Given these limitations, we
conclude that the observations are reasonably well matched by our model.

4 Summary and conclusions

Observations of highly-nonlinear IWs contained in the first mode time series on the mid-continental shelf and in current metre records in shallow water have led us to investigate the transformation of the shoaling internal tide. Observations were made in the mid-continental shelf at the site of the CMO experiment. An existing model based on generalized KdV and eKdV equations has been simplified for use in a two-layer ocean, which is representative of realistic stratification. The model accounts for weakly-nonlinear and dispersive properties of the internal tide. Earth’s rotation, internal dissipation, bottom friction and internal shear are not included. The internal tide was forced with a periodic sinusoidal boundary condition and allowed to propagate shoreward.
The model was first run within a KdV framework with realistic continental shelf, constant-sloping bottom with flat and sloping interface, and CMO shelf parameters. The internal tide steepens on the back face of its crest as it propagates shoreward, a direct result of the much greater magnitude of the nonlinear term in comparison with the dispersive term. Nonlinear waves evolve from the internal tide after the back face forms a shock-like front. The waves can appear as a rank-ordered packet with the leading waves travelling fastest, since they are the most nonlinear. The leading waves of depression usually travel faster than the linear wave speed, \( c \), and nearly fit the solitary wave form for local KdV parameters ("sech\(^2\)"). Waves of elevation also develop into sech\(^2\) waves.

The model runs made within the KdV framework were also made within the eKdV framework, which includes a cubic nonlinearity term scaled by \( \alpha_1 \). The results may or may not be similar, depending upon the ratio of the two nonlinear terms, \( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_1} \). If this ratio is large (much greater than one) the cubic nonlinear term is not important and the KdV and eKdV results are similar. If the ratio is of order one or less the eKdV may evolve differently from the KdV. For the constant bottom slope simulations the model results were similar in both frameworks. However, there are some significant differences to the waves that cross the shelf using CMO parameters. The modelled leading waves at the CMO mooring site were much "thicker" than sech\(^2\) waves with local KdV parameters, but they had not quite developed into solitary wave solutions of the eKdV equation (table-top waves).

To better understand the evolution of waves toward table-top form in an eKdV framework, without the complications of varying parameters, model runs were made using constant eKdV parameters representative of the CMO site. Upon formation, the leading waves of the packet are similar to sech\(^2\) waves. The waves become "thicker" and tend toward the table-top form upon further propagation, but never reach the theoretical eKdV curve in our limited domain. To help under-
Figure 13. Observations at the CMO mooring site over a semi-diurnal period. (i) Sections of the record similar to events observed over a tidal period in the model runs of Fig. 10iii. (ii) Same as (i) except the record is a little bit more complicated over a tidal period. (iii) Same as (ii).

stand why the evolution of waves from being close to sech$^2$ waves to being close to table-top waves was so slow, the internal tide was forced with a sech$^2$ wave. The evolving sech$^2$ rapidly moves to the theoretical eKdV curve for all amplitudes. We conclude that the interaction between the solitary-like waves in a packet slows them from evolving into exact solitary “sech$^2$” or “table-top” waves.

Model runs with varying initial amplitudes and generation regions were made to help interpret the observations made at the CMO site. Some features of the observations compare well with the model. The leading face of the trough of the internal tide steepens to form a shock-like front. Nonlinear high-frequency waves evolve shortly after the appearance of the jump. Although not rank ordered, the wave of maximum amplitude is always close to the jump. Some features of the observations are not found in the model. Nonlinear waves can be very widely spaced and persist over a tidal period. The amplitude of the observed waves often decreases before the arrival of the jump, while the leading face may change slope before the jump arrives.

Individual observed waves were examined and the details compared to model results. The observed nonlinear waves
vary greatly in amplitude and width, generally having amplitudes of between 5 and 25 m, and widths of between 200 and 600 s. Larger amplitude waves are well approximated by waves evolving from large amplitude model waves. A large fraction of smaller amplitude observed waves, particularly less than 10 m, are thinner than model waves of similar amplitude. We conclude that the observed waves are a good match to modelled waves given the highly idealized eKdV model used, and the fact that we have neglected friction, rotation and mean shear.
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