A model for predicting changes in the electrical conductivity, practical salinity, and absolute salinity of seawater due to variations in relative chemical composition
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Abstract. Salinity determination in seawater has been carried out for almost 30 years using the Practical Salinity Scale 1978. However, the numerical value of so-called practical salinity, computed from electrical conductivity, differs slightly from the true or absolute salinity, defined as the mass of dissolved solids per unit mass of seawater. The difference arises because more recent knowledge about the composition of seawater is not reflected in the definition of practical salinity, which was chosen to maintain historical continuity with previous measures, and because of spatial and temporal variations in the relative composition of seawater. Accounting for these spatial variations in density calculations requires the calculation of a correction factor $\delta S_A$, which is known to range from 0 to 0.03 g kg$^{-1}$ in the world oceans. Here a mathematical model relating compositional perturbations to $\delta S_A$ is developed, by combining a chemical model for the composition of seawater with a mathematical model for predicting the conductivity of multi-component aqueous solutions. Model calculations for this estimate of $\delta S_A$, denoted $\delta S_{\text{soln}}^R$, generally agree with estimates of $\delta S_A$ based on fits to direct density measurements, denoted $\delta S_{\text{dens}}^R$, and show that biogeochemical perturbations affect conductivity only weakly. However, small systematic differences between model and density-based estimates remain. These may arise for several reasons, including uncertainty about the biogeochemical processes involved in the increase in Total Alkalinity in the North Pacific, uncertainty in the carbon content of IAPSO standard seawater, and uncertainty about the haline contraction coefficient for the constituents involved in biogeochemical processes. This model may then be important in constraining these processes, as well as in future efforts to improve parameterizations for $\delta S_A$.

1 Introduction

Procedures for routine estimation of the salinity of seawater have been standardized for nearly 30 years. These procedures are based on combining measurements of the electrical conductivity $\kappa$ of the water with a purely empirical equation relating conductivity and a so-called practical salinity $S_P$:

$$S_P = f_{78}(\kappa)$$

The equation $f_{78}(-)$ is specified by the Practical Salinity Scale 1978, denoted PSS-78 (UNESCO, 1981), with a low-salinity correction (Hill et al., 1986a) that extends the range of validity down to near-zero salinities. Temperature and pressure are also important factors in these equations but are omitted from the notation used here. Note also that practical considerations add some complexity to this brief description of PSS-78.

It was clearly recognized at the time PSS-78 was adopted that the utility of the computed salinities depended on two factors. First, it was necessary that the relative chemical composition of seawater would be constant throughout the world’s oceans. Thus waters of the same salinity would have the same conductivity and vice versa. It was known that there were (and are) spatial variations in the composition, but investigations suggested that the numerical effects on salinity estimates arising from these variations remained within limits acceptable to the research standards of the day (Lewis and Perkin, 1978; Hill et al., 1986b). Second, it required a
Table 1. List of important symbols and abbreviations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_s$</td>
<td>Chemical composition of standard seawater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_0$</td>
<td>Chemical composition of standard seawater when $Sp=35$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_i$</td>
<td>Concentration (mol kg$^{-1}$) of $i$th constituent of seawater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_i^*$</td>
<td>Concentration (mol L$^{-1}$) of $i$th constituent of seawater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta C_s$</td>
<td>Small composition perturbation (added to $C_s$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta S_p$</td>
<td>Practical Salinity change resulting from compositional perturbation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta S_p^*$</td>
<td>Simpler estimate of Practical Salinity change resulting from compositional perturbation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta S_A$</td>
<td>Absolute salinity change resulting from compositional perturbation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta S_A^{soln}(1)$</td>
<td>Salinity correction estimated using fixed chlorinity calculation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta S_A^{soln}(2)$</td>
<td>Salinity correction estimated using fixed conductivity calculation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta S_A^{sens}$</td>
<td>Estimate of salinity correction based on density (e.g., McDougall et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon$</td>
<td>Relative error of Pa08 prediction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I^*$</td>
<td>Ionic strength (mol L$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_m$</td>
<td>Ionic strength (mol kg$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>True conductivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{Pa08}$</td>
<td>Conductivity estimated using Pa08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\kappa$</td>
<td>Infinite dilution ionic equivalent conductivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\kappa_i$</td>
<td>Ionen equivalent conductivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_i$</td>
<td>Molar mass of $i$th constituent of seawater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>Density of seawater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Sp$</td>
<td>Practical salinity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_A$</td>
<td>Absolute salinity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_A^{soln}$</td>
<td>Absolute salinity using procedure of Millero et al. (2008); McDougall et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_R$</td>
<td>Reference salinity (Millero et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSW</td>
<td>IAPSO Standard Seawater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSW76</td>
<td>Chemical model for SSW circa 1976 in this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_i$</td>
<td>Valence of $i$th constituent of seawater</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Method by which different investigators could intercalibrate their measurements. Procedures providing “standard” seawater from a single source for calibrating chlorinity titrations were adapted to provide batches of labelled IAPSO standard seawater (SSW) for conductivity calibrations; PSS-78 itself is based primarily on measurements of SSW batches P73, P75, and P79 (Perkin and Lewis, 1980). However, there is a small numerical difference between the computed practical salinity $Sp$ of seawater and its true or absolute salinity $S_A^{soln}$ in g kg$^{-1}$, defined as the mass of solids dissolved in solution per unit mass of seawater, i.e.:

$$S_A^{soln} = s(C) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} M_i c_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $M_i$ (Table 2) is the molar mass of the $i$th of $N_c$ components of seawater (not including dissolved gases), and $C = \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{N_c}\}$ is a vector of the corresponding concentrations. This difference arises for historical reasons (see, e.g., Millero et al., 2008, for more details). For SSW this difference can be accounted for by a simple scaling

$$S_R = \gamma S_p$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\gamma$ incorporates updated knowledge of the true chemical composition of SSW, and $S_R$ is the reference salinity, i.e., the absolute salinity of SSW with the measured conductivity. However, for real ocean waters there are also small spatial and temporal differences in the relationship arising from small variations in the relative chemical composition of seawater. Thus in general:

$$S_A^{soln} = S_R + \delta S_A^{soln}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The salinity anomaly $\delta S_A^{soln}$ has previously been denoted $\delta S_A$ (Millero et al., 2008), and is in the range of 0 to 0.03 g kg$^{-1}$ in the open ocean, with largest values in the North Pacific (McDougall et al., 2009), and can be as large as 0.05 g kg$^{-1}$ in some estuarine waters (Miller, 1984). It should be zero by definition when measurements are made of SSW.

In recent years the increasing number of high-quality conductivity measurements of seawater on global scales has led to the realization that these spatio-temporal differences may
have practical importance in understanding the global circulation. A reevaluation of the procedures for determining thermodynamic properties of seawater, including density, suggests that more accurate results can be obtained by returning to a procedure in which absolute salinity is used instead of $S_P$ as a state variable (Feistel, 2008; Millero et al., 2008). In this procedure a best estimate $\delta R$ for the absolute salinity of SSW is made by taking $\gamma = nPS = 35.16504/35 \approx 1.004715$. For non-standard seawaters an offset, which was also called $\delta S_A$ (Millero et al., 2008) but is here denoted $\delta S_A^{\text{dens}}$ to indicate that it is found from measurements of density anomalies, is added to $\delta R$ to calculate $S_A^{\text{dens}}$ as a best estimate for the absolute salinity (McDougall et al., 2009).

The absolute salinity can be directly estimated by measuring the density of water samples and then inverting the equation of state which relates density and salinity. The algorithm for $\delta S_A^{\text{dens}}$ provided by McDougall et al. (2009) is based on a fit of such data against measured Si(OH)$_4$ concentrations. Other algorithms for estimating $\delta S_A^{\text{dens}}$ also exist (Brewer and Bradshaw, 1975; Millero, 2000). These are also based on purely empirical correlations of density anomalies with concentrations of specific chemical species, typically nutrients and components of the carbonate system.

However, little work has been done on understanding the full theoretical basis for these corrections. A complete chemical theory would include a model for seawater, and a method for determining the variations in conductivity and density that result from compositional variations. Density has been well-studied (e.g. Millero et al., 1976), but in spite of the practical importance of conductivity in ocean measurements there has been virtually no work done in developing a theory of electrical conductivity for natural seawaters. Recently, a model has been developed for calculating the electrical conductivity of natural freshwaters, based on their chemical composition (Pawlowicz, 2008, hereafter Pa08). Although the Pa08 model works well for waters of low salinities (less than a few g kg$^{-1}$ of dissolved solids), accuracy in waters of higher salinities is not sufficient to directly replace the empirical relationship specified by PSS-78. However, it will be shown here that the model can be used to quantitatively calculate the effects of small compositional variations on the known PSS-78 conductivity/salinity relationship.

The purpose of this paper is then twofold. First, to develop a seawater conductivity model, based on Pa08, capable of quantitatively determining the effects of small variations in the chemical composition of a model seawater on its conductivity, and consequently on $S_P$. Second, to use this model to compute corrections $\delta S_R^{\text{saln}}$ directly from a suitable set of observations of the concentrations of specific constituents of seawater, independent of density measurements. This model will then be a complement to the available empirical density-based estimates $\delta S_A^{\text{dens}}$.

### Table 2. Model parameters including molar masses $M_i$, infinite dilution equivalent conductivities $\lambda_i^\infty$, ionic equivalent conductivities $\bar{\lambda}_i$ in SSW76, conductivities per unit mass $\psi_i$, and coefficients multiplying $\delta c_i$ in the approximate $\delta S_R^{\text{saln}}$ Eq. (30).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>$M_i$ [g mol$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$\lambda_i^\infty$ [mS cm$^{-1}$ (mol L$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$\bar{\lambda}_i$ [mS cm$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$\psi_i$ [mS cm$^{-1}$ (g kg$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$1 - \frac{\psi_i}{\psi}$</th>
<th>$M_i (1 - \frac{\psi_i}{\psi}) \times 10^{-3}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na$^+$</td>
<td>22.9898</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>24.3050</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>-6.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>40.0780</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$^+$</td>
<td>39.0983</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$^{2+}$</td>
<td>87.6200</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>50.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl$^-$</td>
<td>35.4530</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SO}_4^{2-}$</td>
<td>96.0626</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>45.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Br}^-$</td>
<td>79.9040</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>40.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F^-$</td>
<td>18.9984</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-6.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{HCO}_3^-$</td>
<td>61.0168</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>42.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CO}_3^{2-}$</td>
<td>60.0089</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>19.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{B(OH)}_3$</td>
<td>61.8330</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>61.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{B(OH)}_4^-$</td>
<td>78.8404</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>65.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CO}_2$</td>
<td>44.0095</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>44.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{OH}^-$</td>
<td>17.0073</td>
<td>198.0</td>
<td>156.9</td>
<td>9.44</td>
<td>-5.98</td>
<td>-101.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{H}^+$</td>
<td>1.0079</td>
<td>349.6</td>
<td>279.0</td>
<td>283.23</td>
<td>-208.36</td>
<td>-210.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{NO}_3^-$</td>
<td>62.0049</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>28.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si(OH)$_4$</td>
<td>96.1149</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>96.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Methods

The general approach is based on modeling perturbations about a known base state for SSW. The base state consists of the known PSS-78 relationship (Eq. 1), and a chemical composition which is a function of the practical salinity.

The first step is then to construct the model composition \( C_* \) for SSW, with an assumed practical salinity \( S_p^{} \), true conductivity \( \kappa_* = f_{76}(S_p^{}) \), and computed reference salinity (via Eq. 2) \( S_* = \gamma S_p^{} \), which in this case equals the absolute salinity. The composition \( C_* \) will be based on a model of the changes arising from dilutions and evaporations of a reference composition \( C_0 \) for which \( S_p^{}=35 \). Thus our seawater model will mimic the seawater used to develop PSS-78, and can be used to estimate \( \gamma \).

The second step is to compute conductivity and absolute salinity perturbations, \( \delta \kappa \) and \( \delta S_*^{\text{soln}} \) respectively, arising from compositional changes. There are two kinds of calculation possible. The most straightforward occurs when an initial base state \( C_* \) is known, and a known perturbation \( \delta C_* \) is added. The Pa08 conductivity model is used to estimate \( \delta \kappa \). In this calculation a nonzero offset \( \delta S_*^{\text{soln}} \) can arise because both absolute and conductivity-based reference salinities change (to values of \( S_*^{\text{soln}} \) and \( S_R \) respectively), but generally by different amounts. Since these situations often involve composition changes only in the nonconservative elements of seawater, we call this a constant chlorinity calculation. However, estuarine situations when freshwaters (which may contain \( \text{Cl}^- \) and other so-called conservative elements) are added will also be handled in this way. Results can be simplified into an approximate analytical form, which can then be used to qualitatively understand the effect of perturbations.

In contrast, a more formally correct procedure for the correction of ocean measurements is to compute \( \delta S_*^{\text{soln}} \) when the composition is perturbed, but only the final conductivity \( K \) (and hence \( S_R \)) are known. In this constant conductivity calculation the addition of a known concentration of (say) nitrate, which is ionic and would increase conductivity, would be balanced by a small dilution of the SSW composition corresponding to the measured \( S_R \), in order to keep conductivity constant. The Pa08 model is then used iteratively to calculate the dilution factor, such that the conductivity of final composition composed of diluted SSW plus the composition anomaly matches the measurement. A change \( \delta S_R^{\text{soln}(2)} \) is found by subtracting the initial \( S_R \) from the absolute salinity of the final composition. The compositional perturbations are small in the examples considered here, and the two procedures provide nearly identical values for the offset associated with a given composition anomaly.

Unless otherwise stated, all calculations are carried out for a temperature of 25 \( ^\circ \)C and a sea pressure of 0 dbar. This is appropriate for comparisons with laboratory measurements on water samples. The accuracy of the Pa08 conductivity model has also been most comprehensively investigated under these conditions.

2.1 A composition model for standard seawater \((S_p=35)\)

Typical oceanic concentrations of virtually all elements in the periodic table are now known (e.g., Nozaki, 1997), but many elements are present in only trace quantities. The model base state (labelled SSW76, see columns 1–2 of Table 3) is meant to match as closely as possible the composition of SSW derived from North Atlantic surface seawater circa 1976 used to determine both PSS-78 and the 1980 equation of state (Millero and Poisson, 1981). It includes all components that can affect salinity down to the level of 1 mg kg\(^{-1}\), although traditional practice in not including the dissolved gases \( \text{N}_2 \) (16 mg kg\(^{-1}\)), and \( \text{O}_2 \) (0–8 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) is followed. This composition is denoted by a vector \( C_0 = \{c_1^{} ... c_N^{} \} \), where \( c_i \) is the concentration (mol kg\(^{-1}\) solution) of the \( i \)th of \( N \) constituents. SSW76 is defined to have \( S_p^{}=35 \) (exactly), and constructed to have a chlorinity \( C_1^{}=19.374 \) g kg\(^{-1}\) according to the definition (Millero et al., 2008) derived from titration procedures:

\[
C_1^{}(\text{g kg}^{-1}) = 0.3285234 \cdot \text{M}_{\text{Ag}} \cdot ([\text{Cl}^-] + [\text{Br}^-] + [\text{I}^-])
\]

with \([\cdot]\) denoting concentrations and \( \text{M}_{\text{Ag}} = 107.8682 \text{ g mol}^{-1} \) the molar mass of silver. In addition, the reference salinity \( S_R = u_{PS} \cdot S_p^{} \) (Millero et al., 2008), will be (exactly) 35.16504 g kg\(^{-1}\).

The recently defined reference composition of standard seawater (from Millero et al., 2008, hereafter M08) was taken as a starting point in specifying SSW76. However, M08 cannot easily be used directly as a model for seawater in this study for several reasons.

First, the fixed ratios of carbonate system components in M08 are not convenient for studying spatial and temporal variations in seawater composition. Although specification of the carbonate system in seawater requires (at minimum) 7 species (Millero, 1995), some of which appear in amounts much less than 1 mg kg\(^{-1}\), their concentrations are not independent. Rather, they are coupled by constants governing the chemical equilibria between them. Only two parameters from the set \{\text{TA}, \text{pH}, f\text{CO}_2, \text{DIC}\} are required to fully specify the carbonate system (with minor corrections arising from borate and \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) concentrations). From these parameters, the equilibrium constants (denoted by \( K_w, K_0, K_1, K_2, K_B \) and parameterized in Dickson et al., 2007) are used to compute the ionic concentrations.

It is desirable in the model to let the carbonate ions remain in chemical equilibrium in all conditions as this more closely models the behavior of real water. Thus instead of using the M08 ionic concentrations, the carbonate system is defined using two of the standard parameters. The first parameter used is Total Alkalinity (TA), set to 2300 \( \mu \)mol kg\(^{-1}\), where
Table 3. The chemical compositions of model SSW76, NPIW, and their differences. For both water types we show concentrations in molar units and their contribution to mass-based salinities. The upper 9 species are conservative. Both SSW76 and NPIW have a chlorinity of 19.374 mg kg\(^{-1}\), but chlorinity is not exactly the same as the concentration of Cl\(^-\) (Millero et al., 2008). The next 7 species form the carbonate system, followed by the two nutrients. We also list other parameters that can be used to specify the equilibria involved in the carbonate system. \(S_{\text{A}}^{\text{soln}}\), \(\kappa\), \(S_p\), and \(S_R\) are computed according to the formulas discussed in the text. The charge differences in the rightmost column are indicated with two signs. The first represents the net change (increase or decrease), and the second whether these are positive or negative charges.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>SSW76 mmol kg(^{-1})</th>
<th>SSW76 mg kg(^{-1})</th>
<th>NPIW mmol kg(^{-1})</th>
<th>NPIW mg kg(^{-1})</th>
<th>NPIW-SSW6 mmol kg(^{-1})</th>
<th>NPIW-SSW6 mg kg(^{-1})</th>
<th>(\mu\text{eq kg}(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na(^+)</td>
<td>468.96335</td>
<td>10781.35913</td>
<td>468.96335</td>
<td>10781.35913</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(^{2+})</td>
<td>52.81702</td>
<td>1283.71757</td>
<td>52.81702</td>
<td>1283.71757</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(^{2+})</td>
<td>10.28205</td>
<td>412.08380</td>
<td>10.37705</td>
<td>415.89129</td>
<td>0.09500</td>
<td>3.80748</td>
<td>+190.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(^+)</td>
<td>10.20769</td>
<td>399.10324</td>
<td>10.20769</td>
<td>399.10324</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr(^{2+})</td>
<td>0.09066</td>
<td>7.94332</td>
<td>0.09066</td>
<td>7.94332</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl(^-)</td>
<td>545.86954</td>
<td>19352.71293</td>
<td>545.86954</td>
<td>19352.71293</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO(_4^{2-})</td>
<td>28.23526</td>
<td>2712.35228</td>
<td>28.23526</td>
<td>2712.35228</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br(^-)</td>
<td>0.84208</td>
<td>67.28578</td>
<td>0.84208</td>
<td>67.28578</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(^-)</td>
<td>0.06832</td>
<td>1.29805</td>
<td>0.06832</td>
<td>1.29805</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO(_3^-)</td>
<td>1.90028</td>
<td>115.94926</td>
<td>2.25090</td>
<td>137.34304</td>
<td>0.35062</td>
<td>21.39378</td>
<td>+350.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO(_3^{2-})</td>
<td>0.16285</td>
<td>9.77242</td>
<td>0.08222</td>
<td>4.93414</td>
<td>−0.08063</td>
<td>−4.83828</td>
<td>−161.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B(OH)(_3)</td>
<td>0.34579</td>
<td>21.38143</td>
<td>0.38239</td>
<td>23.64422</td>
<td>0.03660</td>
<td>2.26279</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B(OH)(_4^-)</td>
<td>0.06923</td>
<td>5.45779</td>
<td>0.03263</td>
<td>2.57262</td>
<td>−0.03660</td>
<td>−2.88517</td>
<td>−36.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO(_2)</td>
<td>0.01687</td>
<td>0.74233</td>
<td>0.04687</td>
<td>2.06284</td>
<td>0.03001</td>
<td>1.32051</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH(^-)</td>
<td>0.00480</td>
<td>0.08172</td>
<td>0.00205</td>
<td>0.03483</td>
<td>−0.00276</td>
<td>−0.04688</td>
<td>−2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(^+)</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
<td>0.00002</td>
<td>0.00002</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
<td>+0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO(_3^-)</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.04000</td>
<td>2.48020</td>
<td>0.04000</td>
<td>2.48020</td>
<td>+40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si(OH)(_4)</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.17000</td>
<td>16.33953</td>
<td>0.17000</td>
<td>16.33953</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA</td>
<td>2300.0 µeq kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>2450.0 µeq kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>2450.0 µeq kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>150.0 µeq kg(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC</td>
<td>2080.0 µmol kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>2380.0 µmol kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>2380.0 µmol kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>300.0 µmol kg(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH(_{TOT})</td>
<td>7.89892</td>
<td>7.52859</td>
<td>7.52859</td>
<td>−0.37033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S_{\text{A}}^{\text{soln}})</td>
<td>35.17124 g kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>35.21108 g kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>35.21108 g kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>(\delta S_{\text{A}}^{\text{soln}}=0.03983 g kg^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\kappa)</td>
<td>53064.8 µS cm(^{-1})</td>
<td>53073.1 µS cm(^{-1})</td>
<td>53073.1 µS cm(^{-1})</td>
<td>(\delta \kappa=8.324 \mu S cm^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S_p)</td>
<td>35.00000</td>
<td>35.00618</td>
<td>35.00618</td>
<td>(\delta S_p=0.00618)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S_R)</td>
<td>35.16504</td>
<td>35.17125</td>
<td>35.17125</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[\text{TA} = [\text{HCO}_3^-] + 2[\text{CO}_3^{2-}] + [\text{B(OH)}_3^-] + [\text{OH}^-] - [\text{H}^+] \quad (6)\]

A total borate component is specified by adding together the \(\text{B(OH)}_4^-\) and \(\text{B(OH)}_3^-\) concentrations (required for carbonate system calculations) are also taken from M08.

Second, although the TA of SSW76 and M08 are the same, the total dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) defined as

\[\text{DIC} = [\text{CO}_2] + [\text{HCO}_3^-] + [\text{CO}_3^{2-}] \quad (7)\]

in the two models is different (as are pH and \(f\text{CO}_2\)). The reason for this is that attempts to match \(\delta S_{\text{A}}^{\text{soln}}\) observations, as well as weak independent evidence, suggest that the DIC content of SSW is somewhat higher than that specified in M08.

M08 specifies ionic composition after setting the fugacity \(f\text{CO}_2\) to 333 µ-atm at a temperature of 25°C. This \(f\text{CO}_2\) is appropriate for an equilibrium with atmospheric levels when the measurements were made to define PSS-78, and at 25°C implies a DIC of 1963 µmol kg\(^{-1}\). Typically, after sampling, SSW is filtered and sterilized for ≈30 days at temperatures of 28°C before 1991 (batch numbers up to P115), but only 18–21°C since then (P. Ridout, OSIL, personal communication, 2009). Since the solubility of CO\(_2\) is strongly dependent on temperature, the choice of temperature is important. At 20°C equilibrium levels of DIC would be around 2006 µmol kg\(^{-1}\),

and at 28°C they would be 1937 μmol kg⁻¹. The change in DIC due to increasing atmospheric CO₂ levels is slightly smaller. At a temperature of 25°C and a present-day CO₂ of 380 μ-atm, calculated DIC would be 1992 μmol kg⁻¹.

However, there are indications that measured DIC values in ampoules of SSW are often (but not always) somewhat higher than these predicted equilibria at bottling time, and this is generally believed to be caused by the decomposition of residual organic matter after bottling. Unfortunately, although the TA of standard seawater has been studied (Goyet et al., 1985; Millero et al., 1993), there has been no systematic attempt to analyze the DIC content of standard seawater, and its temporal stability. Brewer and Bradshaw (1975) measured 2238 ± 10 μmol kg⁻¹ in SSW batch P61. Recent (September 2009) measurements of DIC in ampoules of old SSW from batches P79 (from 1977), P111 (1989), and bottled P140 (2000) found values of 2610, 2200, and 1803 ± 10 μmol kg⁻¹, respectively. The spread between replicates from different ampoules of the same batch was 10–20 μmol kg⁻¹, larger than measurement uncertainty, but much smaller than the variations between batches.

In fact, as will be shown later, conductivity is not sensitive to variations in DIC, although SRsoln (and hence δRSoln) are greatly affected. A DIC change of 100 μmol kg⁻¹ is equivalent to an absolute salinity variation of ±0.006 g kg⁻¹, but will change SR by only 0.0007. Since a primary purpose of our δRSoln corrections is (eventually) to calculate densities, it may be more important to choose a model DIC value that will match that of the water used in the measurements defining the 1980 equation of state (Millero and Poisson, 1981), relating salinity and density. This is stated by Millero (2000) to have been 2226 ± 10 μmol kg⁻¹. However, density fits to Pacific ocean data published in that paper also suggest zero density anomalies occur when DIC = 2000 ± 10 μmol kg⁻¹.

Since ampoules of SSW are sealed, this large range of uncertainty is ultimately related to the effects of organic material and its neglect in the inorganic seawater chemistry model developed here. This makes it difficult to specify a useful model value for DIC in advance of any calculations, although both density measurements and direct observations suggest concentrations somewhat higher than that of M08. It is probably desirable that our definition (eventually) imply that δRSoln ≈ 0 for observations from the surface North Atlantic. Thus, after some tuning, DIC is set to 2080 ± 10 μmol kg⁻¹.

An inappropriate value for the DIC of SSW76 will (eventually) lead to a near-constant offset in all calculated absolute salinity variations. Although this offset is thus potentially significant, it will apply to all calculations and hence may have little effect on comparisons between different seawaters, or on any computation in which additions rather than absolute levels of DIC are specified.

The last difference is that non-conservative nutrient species must be included. Changes in NO₃⁻ and Si(OH)₄ will exceed 1 mg kg⁻¹ in a seawater with SR = 35 g kg⁻¹ and are related to the salinity variations we seek to model (Brewer and Bradshaw, 1975; Millero, 2000). These nutrients are assumed to have a concentration of zero in SSW76.

Following customary practice the mass of Na⁺ is adjusted slightly to maintain charge neutrality, once all other ionic components are specified in SSW76. This may partly account for the contributions of neglected ionic constituents, of which the most important are the conservative elements Li⁺ (0.18 mg kg⁻¹, Soffyn-Egli and Mackenzie, 1984), Rb⁺ (0.12 mg kg⁻¹), and the nutrient PO₄³⁻ (0.23 mg kg⁻¹).

The computed absolute salinity s(C₀) is 35.171 ± 0.001 g kg⁻¹ for SSW76. This differs by 0.006 g kg⁻¹ from the defined value of SR for SSW of 35.16504 ± 0.00017. The mismatch is within the uncertainty of ±0.007 g kg⁻¹ suggested by Millero et al. (2008), although much of that error arises from uncertainty about the amount of SO₄²⁻. In contrast, the salinity difference here largely arises from differences in carbonate parameters. However, it should be emphasized that SSW76 is a model of seawater, and not necessarily a better (or worse) description of actual seawater than M08. This is because the assumed precision for some of the constituent concentrations is greater than that of the best observations.

Strictly speaking, the difference between γ = 35.171/35 and ΔPS means that the offsets computed in this paper are not exactly those required to get the true absolute salinity. Instead they will be in error by a scale factor of γ/ΔPS ≈ 1.00017. However, the difference is small enough that it is not of any practical importance and the difference will be ignored.

2.2 A model for standard seawater (SR ≠ 35)

The composition of SSW C* at practical salinities other than 35 can be specified in different ways. The simplest is to multiply all constituent concentrations by a constant fraction (i.e. C*₃₅ = β · C₀ for SR = 35). This is a so-called type III Reference Seawater (Millero et al., 2008). However, during the specification of PSS-78, SSW was evaporated or diluted with distilled water in order to change its salinity, and again equilibrated with the atmosphere. This makes it more reasonable to specify a type II Reference Seawater C*₃₅, where only the concentrations of conservative tracers, as well as TA, are multiplied by the constant fraction β for SR = 35, but CO₂ is kept constant.

Assuming in advance of our later discussion that the conductivity model can predict the effects of perturbations reasonably well, and realizing that C*₃₅ and C*₄₃ are very similar, the differences in conductivity, absolute salinity, and conductivity-derived practical salinity arising from these two approximations can be estimated as:

\[ δκ' = κ_{Pa08}(C*_{(2)}) - κ_{Pa08}(C*_{(3)}) \]  

(8)

\[ δS'_A = s(C*_{(2)}) - s(C*_{(3)}) \]  

(9)
\[ \delta S'_p = f_{78}(\kappa_{pa08}(C_e^{(2)})) - f_{78}(\kappa_{pa08}(C_e^{(3)})) \]  

(10)

where \( \kappa_{pa08} \) is the conductivity estimate computed using the Pa08 conductivity model and the two absolute salinities in Eq. (9) are calculated using Eq. (2).

Over the range of 5 < \( S_p < 40 \), the conductivity differences are \( \delta \kappa < \pm 0.8 \mu \text{S cm}^{-1} \) (Fig. 1a), which in turn implies \( \delta S'_p < \pm 0.0005 \) (Fig. 1b). These uncertainties are negligible. However, the changes in absolute salinity \( \delta S'_A \) are an order of magnitude larger, and can approach 0.004 g kg\(^{-1} \) at salinities of about 17 (Fig. 1b) although the differences are not important for typical seawater salinities near 35.

### 2.3 A perturbation model for observed seawater

As a particular parcel of seawater is advected through the ocean, biogeochemical processes alter its composition so it differs from that of SSW. These perturbations are represented by a vector \( \delta C_\ast \), so that the composition becomes \( C_\ast + \delta C_\ast \). Biogeochemical processes will not alter the unreactive components of seawater, so these components of \( \delta C_\ast \) are zero. Changes occur due to variations in non-conservative nutrients and components of the carbonate system. However, calculations appropriate for estuarine waters may also involve changes in some of the unreactive components as they may also be components of freshwaters.

Note that a slight simplification has been made. Actual additions of a particular species to a volume of water will (slightly) change the concentrations of all other species, when concentrations are measured per unit mass of solution (or per unit volume) as is done here. However, modeling this additional complication is not necessary here as we are not tracking individual parcels.

Nutrient changes that lie above our threshold of 1 mg kg\(^{-1} \) include nitrate (NO\(_3^-\)) and silicate. The latter can appear in the form of SiO\(_2\), Si(OH)\(_4\), and SiO(OH)\(_4\^-\). Typically in the pH range of seawater all but a few percent appears as nonconductive Si(OH)\(_4\), and it will therefore be assumed that only a negligible amount appears in the other forms.

Changes to the carbonate system can be determined by measurements of TA and DIC (or any two equivalent measurements, e.g. pH and TA). With the addition of NO\(_3^-\), and a change in TA, the number of positive and negative charges in the composition will probably no longer balance. Other processes must therefore be present in the real ocean to balance this excess (or rather, the change in TA arises to compensate for the effects of these other processes). The dissolution of CaCO\(_3\) is likely the predominant mechanism at work (Sarmiento and Gruber, 2006). The negative charges and carbon from CO\(_3^{2-}\) are already accounted for in the increase in TA and DIC respectively. An increase in Ca\(^{2+}\) is also known to occur in deep waters (de Villiers, 1998), and we assume that this will balance the change in total charge. That is, we assume a relationship between the increase in TA and the increase in concentrations of Ca\(^{2+}\) from dissolution and NO\(_3^-\) from remineralization:

\[ \Delta \text{TA} = 2\Delta[\text{Ca}^{2+}] - \Delta[\text{NO}_3^-] \]  

(11)

where \( \Delta \) denotes changes. Thus our perturbations must include measured concentrations of NO\(_3^-\), Si(OH)\(_4\), TA, and DIC, as well as an inferred change in Ca\(^{2+}\) using Eq. (11). Carbonate parameters are then recomputed using the equilibrium constant formulas described by Dickson et al. (2007).

Of course, other processes also occur in the ocean. For example, TA also varies with changes in phosphate and organic substances, although these contributions will fall below our threshold of importance. A more important process might be sulfate reduction on continental shelves (Chen, 2002), which may be responsible for a large part of TA increases in some areas. In order to model this situation Eq. (11) would be modified to:

\[ \Delta \text{TA} = 2\Delta[\text{Ca}^{2+}] - 2\Delta[\text{SO}_4^{2-}] - \Delta[\text{NO}_3^-] \]  

(12)

but now an additional relationship (specifying, e.g., the importance of CaCO\(_3\) dissolution relative to SO\(_4^{2-}\) reduction) is needed to complete the model. Speculation on this relationship is beyond the scope of this paper.

There is also evidence for significant (relative to our threshold) variations in the concentrations of Mg\(^{2+}\) in the vicinity of hydrothermal vents (de Villiers and Nelson, 1999). Again, the wider importance of this process, and a means of parameterizing these variations, is at present unknown.
2.4 A model for seawater conductivity

The Pa08 model estimate \( \kappa_{Pa08} \) of the true electrical conductivity \( \kappa \) of a dilute multi-component aqueous ionic solution like seawater is computed using an equation which can be written in a simplified form as (Pawlowicz, 2008)

\[
\kappa_{Pa08}(C) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} \bar{\lambda}_i c_i^* z_i
\]

(13)

The in-situ ionic equivalent conductivities \( \bar{\lambda}_i = \lambda_i^* f_i \bar{\sigma}_i \) are the product of infinite dilution equivalent conductivities \( \lambda_i^* \) for the different ions (set to zero for nonionic species), and two reduction factors: \( f_i(I^*) \leq 1 \), accounting for relaxation and electrophoresis effects, and \( \bar{\sigma}_i(I^*, C) \leq 1 \), accounting for ion association effects at finite ionic strength \( I^* \). The stoichiometric ionic strength \( I^* \) is

\[
I^* = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} c_i^* z_i^2
\]

(14)

The valence of charge on the \( i \)th ion is \( z_i \) and its stoichiometric concentration \( c_i^* \) (mol L\(^{-1}\)). This is related to \( c_i \) through a density equation (Millero and Poisson, 1981):

\[
c_i^* = \rho(S_p) c_i
\]

(15)

where we incur an error of at most \( 1 \times 10^{-5} \) by ignoring the fact that the true density will change slightly with composition perturbations \( \delta C_+ \). As \( I^* \to 0 \) we have \( f_i \to 1 \) and \( \bar{\sigma}_i \to 1 \).

The relaxation/electrophoresis reduction parameter \( f_i \) for species \( i \) depends on the concentrations of other species \( j \neq i \) only through their contribution to \( I^* \). However, the ion association parameter \( \bar{\sigma}_i \) depends critically on the concentrations and identities of all other ions (i.e. on the total set of \( c_i^*, i = 1, ..., N_i \)), as it is a weighted sum of interactions with all other anions (cations) for a cation (anion). In order to account for this the internal model structure is somewhat more complicated than Eq. (13) suggests. Both the infinite dilution equivalent conductivities, and the in-situ ionic equivalent conductivities determined by Pa08 for SSW76, are listed in Table 2.

The conductivity model used is identical to that described in Pa08, with the addition of parameters for B(OH)\(_4\)-, derived from observations of Corti et al. (1980). All numerical parameters are based purely on basic chemical measurements in binary solutions, without reference to any measurements made in seawater (or any other natural water).

The accuracy of the computed conductivity \( \kappa_{Pa08} \) depends on the accuracy of the measured ionic concentrations \( c_i^* \), as well as on biases in the calculation of the reduction factors \( f_i \) and \( \bar{\sigma}_i \). At salinities \( < 4 \) g kg\(^{-1}\) the relative accuracy \( \varepsilon = (\kappa_{Pa08} - \kappa)/\kappa \) of the model is typically limited to \( \pm 0.03 \) by the accuracy of the chemical analyses used to determine composition (unpublished results). Once this error is reduced, by, e.g., statistical averaging, the true error is less than 0.007 over a range of chemical compositions. For seawater with salinities of 0.1–1 g kg\(^{-1}\) we find an overestimate of only 0.002. However, at the higher salinities of concern here model biases dominate the error, with \( \varepsilon \) smoothly varying from about \( -0.01 \) at a salinity of \( 4 \) (\( \kappa \sim 8 \) mS cm\(^{-1}\)) to about \( -0.10 \) at a salinity of 35 or \( \kappa \sim 50 \) mS cm\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 2b).

2.5 Conductivity perturbations for non-standard seawaters

A salinity underestimate of order 3 g kg\(^{-1}\) resulting from using \( \kappa_{Pa08} \) in Eq. (1) directly will not allow us to directly investigate the small compositional variations in typical seawater that we have discussed above, which are several orders of magnitude smaller. However, not only is \( |\varepsilon| \ll 1 \), but it is relatively insensitive to changes in chemical composition. A comparison of measured and predicted conductivities for a variety of saline ocean and lake waters in the range of 20–50 mS cm\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 2b) shows that the resulting error is virtually identical for different compositions at the same conductivity. This is very different from results found when considering baseline predictions formed by taking \( f_i = \bar{\sigma}_i = 1 \), or equivalently using infinite dilution equivalent conductivities for the different components, ignoring all interionic interactions (Fig. 2a). Not only are these baseline predictions greatly in excess of true conductivities (so that \( \varepsilon = O(1) \)), but the excess is highly sensitive to the composition. The baseline \( \varepsilon \) for Mahoney Lake is almost double that for seawater at the same conductivity. The model is therefore accounting for relative chemical composition correctly, but with an overall bias that depends (weakly) on the salinity.

Thus for model predictions during which only small changes \( \delta C_+ \) in composition are made, we can take the \( \kappa_{Pa08} \) error \( \varepsilon \approx \) constant. \( \varepsilon \) is estimated from

\[
\kappa(C_+) = \kappa_{Pa08}(C_+) \cdot (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}
\]

(16)

knowing that \( \kappa(C_+) = f_0^\ast(S_p^\ast \) for SSW76. Since we assume

\[
\kappa(C_+ + \delta C_+) \approx \kappa_{Pa08}(C_+ + \delta C_+) \cdot (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}
\]

(17)

the change in conductivity \( \delta \kappa \) related to small compositional changes is:

\[
\delta \kappa \equiv \kappa(C_+ + \delta C_+) - \kappa(C_+)
\]

\[
\approx (\kappa_{Pa08}(C_+ + \delta C_+) - \kappa_{Pa08}(C_+)) \cdot (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}
\]

\[
= \delta \kappa_{Pa08} \cdot (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}
\]

(18)

Thus it appears that we can use Pa08 to usefully predict conductivity perturbations.

We can confirm the relationship postulated in Eq. (18) for Pa08, which suggests that increments will be modeled to the same relative accuracy \( \varepsilon \) as conductivities themselves, by directly comparing numerical estimates from the model of various derivatives and other parameters related to conductivity increments with observations made in seawater.
First, direct estimates of the ionic equivalent conductivities \( \lambda_i = \lambda_J^0 f_i \) in seawater have been made using a radioactive tracer technique (Poisson et al., 1979). These parameters can also be extracted from the Pa08 model. When using the baseline (i.e. ignoring all modeled ionic interactions) the parameters are overpredicted with relative errors of 0.34 to 1.5 (Fig. 3a). However, when using the full Pa08 model, predictions are much closer to measured values, and the scatter is also greatly diminished. The mean relative error is -0.09, almost identical to that found for conductivity itself.

Note that although the equivalent conductivities are generally underpredicted, the results for SO\(_4^{2-}\) show a slight overprediction. This ion associates strongly with most other cations. This makes it more difficult to model the equivalent conductivity of the ion, as pairing effects must be subtracted from measurements, but also tends to reduce the error when making predictions in actual solutions, as pairing effects are added back in.

More relevant results can be obtained by comparison with so-called partial equivalent conductivities \( \overline{\lambda}_{1i} \), defined by

\[
\overline{\lambda}_{1i} = \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial E_i} \bigg|_{P,T,E,J \neq i} \ldots 
\]

These have been evaluated from laboratory measurements in which small changes in equivalent concentrations \( E_i \) of the \( i \)th of the \( N_s \) salts (i.e. binary compounds) in seawater are made by additions to a reference seawater (Park, 1964; Conners and Park, 1967; Conners and Weyl, 1968; Conners and Kester, 1974; Poisson et al., 1979). The data are corrected for pairing effects (i.e. using only infinite dilution equivalent conductivities). Pa08 results include relaxation/electrophoresis and ion pairing effects, with the former accounting for most of the reductions from baseline.

Fig. 2. Predicted versus measured \( \kappa \) at 25°C for saline lakes Mahoney (Hall and Northcote, 1986), Mono (Jellison et al., 1999), and Issyk-Kul (Vollmer et al., 2002), as well as for seawater. (a) Baseline predictions without interactions. (b) Pa08 predictions that include interactions. Vertical bars show uncertainty based on the computed charge imbalance in the published chemical composition used for predictions. Lake Issyk-Kul is a warm deep lake with roughly equal amounts of NaCl and MgSO\(_4\), meromictic Mahoney Lake is dominated by NaSO\(_4\), Mono Lake contains a Na-CO\(_3\)-Cl-SO\(_4\) brine and seawater is primarily composed of NaCl.

Fig. 3. Comparison between different Pa08 model-derived and measured parameters related to conductivity, for seawater. (a) Ionic equivalent conductivities in seawater of \( S_p=38.38 \) at 25°C. Original data from Poisson et al. (1979, Table 3). (b) Partial equivalent conductivities for various salts in seawater of \( S_p=35.13 \) at 25°C. Original data from Poisson et al. (1979, Table 4). (c) Partial equivalent conductivities for various salts in seawater of \( S_p=35.04 \) at 23°C. Original data from Conners and Weyl (1968, Table 4). Dashed line shows a relative error of -0.10. Baseline predictions are made by ignoring all ionic interactions (i.e. using only infinite dilution equivalent conductivities). Pa08 results include relaxation/electrophoresis and ion pairing effects, with the former accounting for most of the reductions from baseline.
2.6 Salinities of non-standard seawaters

The change in practical salinity resulting from a perturbation \( \delta C_s \) added to an initial composition \( C_s \) is given by

\[
\delta S_p \equiv S_p(C_s + \delta C_s) - S_p(C_s) = f_{78}(\kappa(C_s + \delta C_s)) - f_{78}(\kappa(C_s))
\]

where \( \kappa \) and \( \kappa_{Pa08} \) are as defined in the previous section. At low salinities where \( \kappa_{Pa08} \) has minimal bias the simpler approximation

\[
\delta S_p \approx \delta S_p^* \equiv f_{78}(\kappa_{Pa08}(C_s + \delta C_s)) - f_{78}(\kappa_{Pa08}(C_s))
\]

provides an alternative method of estimating practical salinity changes which does not rely on assumptions about the magnitude of perturbations. In fact the function \( f_{78}(\kappa) \) is smooth enough that the approximation holds to a degree of accuracy \( \ll \varepsilon \) over all salinities (cf. Eq. 10), although we continue to use the computationally more intensive Eq. (22) unless otherwise specified. In addition to these changes in practical salinity, perturbations \( \delta C_s \) also lead to changes in absolute salinity according to:

\[
\delta S_{A}^\text{soln} \equiv S(C_s + \delta C_s) - S(C_s) = s(\delta C_s)
\]

(based on the linearity of Eq. 2).

If we consider a parcel of water with fixed chlorinity, additions \( \delta C_s \) will therefore affect both the measured \( S_p \) and calculated absolute salinity. These changes will generally be different, giving rise to a salinity correction which can be estimated as:

\[
\delta S_{A}^\text{soln}(1) \equiv S_{A}^\text{soln}(C_s + \delta C_s) - \gamma S_p(C_s + \delta C_s) = \delta S_{A}^\text{soln} - \gamma \delta S_p
\]

\[
\approx \delta S_{A}^\text{soln} - \delta S_p
\]

This implies that \( \delta S_{A}^\text{soln} \) is approximately the change in absolute salinity, minus whatever compensating effects arise from conductivity. If a nonionic substance is added, \( \delta S_{A}^\text{soln} \) will dominate the correction. On the other hand, adding very light but extremely conductive ions could lead to negative corrections arising mostly from changes in \( S_p \).

However, when converting ocean measurements to absolute salinity we are concerned with the corrections that arise when \( S_p \) is held constant, rather than those for fixed chlorinity. For non-standard seawater with a measured \( S_p \) we begin with a composition \( C_R \) appropriate for SSW of the same \( S_p \). However, the actual composition is \( \beta C_R + \delta C_s \). That is, the addition of other solids that dissociate into ions which increase conductivity must be matched by a slight dilution of our initial standard seawater composition in order to keep conductivity constant. The dilution factor \( \beta \) for the SSW composition can be found by solving

\[
\kappa(\beta C_R + \delta C_s) = \kappa(C_R)
\]

which can be done iteratively, using \( \kappa_{Pa08} \) in place of \( \kappa \) on both sides of the equation. Then from Eqs. (4) and (28) the true correction is:

\[
\delta S_{A}^\text{soln}(2) = s(\beta C_R + \delta C_s) - s(C_R) = \delta S_{A}^\text{soln} - (1 - \beta)s(C_R)
\]

Typically \( \beta \) is very close to 1 and \( \delta S_{A}^\text{soln}(1) \) is within a few percent of \( \delta S_{A}^\text{soln}(2) \) for the small perturbations of concern here. Although the latter is technically more correct for dealing with ocean data, the advantage of the former is that we can separately estimate effects of changing mass and changing number of electrical charges. We use the notation \( \delta S_{A}^\text{soln} \) when the distinction is unimportant.

3 Results

To illustrate the effects of compositional changes \( \delta C_s \) first consider an extreme, but realistic, scenario. Investigations of the relationship between salinity and density suggest that largest salinity anomalies (of order 0.03 g kg\(^{-1}\)) occur in the intermediate North Pacific (McDougall et al., 2009). This water represents the endpoint of the subsurface branch of the thermohaline circulation and thus provides an appropriate extreme. For comparative purposes model “North Pacific Intermediate Water” (NPIW) is normalized to have the same chlorinity as SSW76, although actual chlorinities in the North Pacific are about 0.3 g kg\(^{-1}\) lower. Based on typical observations, take this water to contain Si(OH)\(_4\)=170 µmol kg\(^{-1}\) and NO\(_3\)=40 µmol kg\(^{-1}\), with TA and DIC larger than in SSW76 by values of 150µeq kg\(^{-1}\) and 300 µmol kg\(^{-1}\) respectively. Columns 4–5 of Table 3 then contain the model composition \( C_0 + \delta C_s \) representing NPIW, with the perturbation \( \delta C_s \) in columns 6–8.

Carbonate equilibria are recalculated from the new TA and DIC. pH on the Total scale drops to about 7.5 (again, all calculations are at 25°C). The increases we specify actually cause concentrations of CO\(_2\)(aq) and B(OH)\(_4\) to decrease significantly. In addition, charge balance considerations require that the concentration of Ca\(^{2+}\) increase by 0.095 mmol kg\(^{-1}\) or a little less than 1% over its value in SSW76. Measured increases in Ca\(^{2+}\) at depth in the North Pacific are of this order (de Villiers, 1998).

Applying the model directly (i.e. under conditions of fixed chlorinity) \( \delta S_p \approx 0.0062 \) and \( \delta S_{A}^\text{soln} \approx 0.0398 \) g kg\(^{-1}\), and hence from Eq. (27) \( \delta S_{A}^\text{soln}(1) \approx 0.034 \) g kg\(^{-1}\). The cruder approximation \( \delta S_p \approx 0.0054 \) underestimates \( \delta S_{A}^\text{soln} \) with a relative error of only –0.12. A similar calculation, i.e., one with the same changes in TA, DIC, NO\(_3\), and Si(OH)\(_4\), under conditions of fixed conductivity, results in a dilution factor of \( \beta = 0.9998105 \), and from Eq. (29) \( \delta S_{A}^\text{soln}(2) \approx 0.033 \) g kg\(^{-1}\).

The two calculations result in almost exactly the same answer. From Eq. (27) we can consider the correction as the difference between changes in absolute and practical...
salinity. The \( \text{Si(OH)}_4 \) component alone contributes about 0.016 g kg\(^{-1} \) to \( \delta S_{\text{R soln}} \), or almost half of the correction. Much of the remainder arises primarily due to increases in HCO\(_3^-\), but there are both increases and decreases in other constituents. In fact, the changes in absolute salinity due to the increase in carbonates outweigh those due to the increase in \( \text{Si(OH)}_4 \), but some of this carbonate ion increase is compensated by an increase in \( S_p \).

In order to better understand these values we investigate the conductivity. Model calculated ionic equivalent conductivities \( \lambda_i \) within our seawater are all significantly smaller than the infinite dilution equivalent conductivities \( \lambda_i^\infty \) (columns 3 and 4 of Table 2), but with the exception of H\(^+\) and OH\(^-\) are all of order 30 mS cm\(^{-1} \) (mol L\(^{-1} \))\(^{-1} \). Very roughly then, conductivity changes will be proportional to changes in the number of charge pairs present. There are large changes in the concentrations of individual negative ions (last column of Table 3), but overall the increases and decreases in negative ions tend to balance out, matching (in total) the smaller increase in positive charges from Ca\(^{2+}\) produced by CaCO\(_3\) dissolution. Thus changes in absolute salinity are most strongly influenced by changes in Si(OH)_4 and DIC, but changes in practical salinity occur mostly due to CaCO\(_3\) dissolution.

Further insight can be obtained by deriving an approximate relationship between \( \delta S_{\text{R soln}} \) and \( \delta C_s \). Seawater conductivity per unit mass of salt at 25°C in the model is \( \psi = \kappa_{\text{Pa08, soln}} \approx 1.35 \text{ mS cm}^{-1} \text{ (g kg}^{-1} \text{)}^{-1} \). Combining Eqs. (2), (4), and (13) and defining \( \psi_i = \lambda_i z_i \rho / M_i \) as the conductivity per unit mass of the \( i \)th component:

\[
\delta S_{\text{R soln}} \approx \sum_i M_i (1 - \psi_i / \psi) \delta c_i
\]

with numerical values appropriate for \( S_p = 35 \) given in Table 2. This expression illustrates the way in which the contribution of individual ions to \( \delta S_{\text{R soln}} \) depends on the degree by which conductivity per unit mass \( \psi_i \) differs from the average \( \psi \). The relationship is only approximate because the \( \psi \) are not in fact constant, but will also vary with \( \delta C_s \). In using this formula it is also important to recall that only charge balanced perturbations are meaningful, so that any scenario must involve changes in at least one cation and anion.

Examination of the mass effect coefficients \( 1 - \psi_i / \psi \) for different ions (listed in column 6 of Table 2) shows concentration perturbations in some ions (e.g., Na\(^+\), Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), K\(^+\), Cl\(^-\), F\(^-\)) result in little change to \( \delta S_{\text{R soln}} \). These ions contribute to conductivity in an “average” way, with \( \psi_i \approx \psi \). Contrariwise, concentration changes in other ions do not affect conductivity in an average way and hence must be accounted for with a non-zero \( \delta S_{\text{R soln}} \). Some of these (e.g., Sr\(^{2+}\), Br\(^-\)) vary with the other conservative ions and hence will not appear in realistic perturbations that arise from biogeochemical processes. Nonconductive species contribute exactly their added mass. Several ions (H\(^+\), OH\(^-\)) have an extremely large effect on conductivity, relative to their mass. However, the actual in-situ mass changes in these ions are so small that the overall effect on conductivity is minimal.

Sea salt is composed primarily of Na\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\) ions (Table 3). These contribute to conductivity in an average way, and so if there are small perturbations in their mass practical salinity changes will approximately account for the absolute salinity change. However, when using the full model, and ignoring nonconductive Si(OH)\(_4\), the change in absolute salinity \( \delta S_{\text{R soln}} \approx 0.024 \), about 4 times larger than \( \delta S_p \). The salinity perturbation for modeling NPIW is composed largely of HCO\(_3^-\), for which \( \psi_i \) is significantly different than \( \psi \). Using Eq. (30) we expect that an HCO\(_3^-\) perturbation will give rise to a conductivity change that (when converted to salinity using the average factor \( \psi \)) will only account for \( \approx 0.3 \) of the actual salinity change. The dominance of HCO\(_3^-\) changes in \( \delta C_s \), and their relatively unductive nature, explains the insensitivity of predicted conductivity to variations in our assumptions about how seawater dilution should be modeled (cf. Sect. 2.2).

The choice between Eqs. (11) and (12) to balance TA changes will also have some consequences. An addition of Ca\(^{2+}\) will result in a compensating increase in conductivity, not affecting \( \delta S_{\text{R soln}} \), but an equal decrease of SO\(_4^{2-}\) (which has an equivalent effect on TA) will not result in a fully compensating decrease in conductivity and hence will result in a smaller \( \delta S_{\text{R soln}} \). For a concentration change of order 100 \( \mu \text{mol kg}^{-1} \) (i.e., for NPIW) the difference in \( \delta S_{\text{R soln}} \) computed using the different scenarios is 0.005 g kg\(^{-1} \) using Eq. (30) or 0.008 g kg\(^{-1} \) using the full model. Since we do not have a good knowledge of the actual \( \delta C_s \) for all constituents of seawater, we must rely on assumptions about biogeochemical processes to parameterize them. However, our prediction accuracy is then limited by the extent of our knowledge about these processes.

By considering only those ions both important in typical biogeochemical perturbations (i.e., large values in column 7 of Table 3) and with strong effect on \( \delta S_{\text{R soln}} \) (i.e., with large values in the last column of Table 2), Eq. (30) can be further simplified. Only HCO\(_3^-\), CO\(_3^{2-}\), CO\(_2\), B(OH)\(_3\), B(OH)\(_3^-\), NO\(_3^-\) and Si(OH)\(_4\) will have significant effects on \( \delta S_{\text{R soln}} \). Since all of the carbonate parameters are related, and relationships such as Eq. (11) mean that the NO\(_3^-\) term is not really independent either, a more sophisticated understanding of the carbonate system may allow a formula for \( \delta S_{\text{R soln}} \) to be written more simply in terms of more general parameters such as TA and DIC.

However, for accurate calculations the full model is required. Unfortunately, although our model can be used to directly compute \( \delta S_{\text{R soln}} \) in any situation, the computational process by which these values are derived is complex and relatively opaque. Previous workers have fitted simple empirical relationships to measurements, and these appear to be sufficient for practical purposes. Such formulas can also
be fitted to “measurements” calculated by the perturbation model. This is a simpler way to derive more straightforward formulas.

First consider perturbations when \( S_p = 35 \). The model is used to calculate \( \delta S_{\text{R}}^{\text{sol}}(2) \) over a grid of \( \delta C_p \) points within a range of \( 0 \leq \Delta TA \leq 0.3 \text{ mmol kg}^{-1}, 0 \leq \Delta DIC \leq 0.3 \text{ mmol kg}^{-1}, \) and \( 0 \leq \Delta NO_3^- \leq 0.040 \text{ mmol kg}^{-1}, \) with \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) again varying according to Eq. (11). Inspection of the results shows that \( \delta S_{\text{R}}^{\text{sol}}(2) \) varies quasi-linearly with the components of the perturbation, and by least-squares fitting the equation

\[
\delta S_{\text{R}}^{\text{sol}}/(\text{mg kg}^{-1}) = (47.11 \Delta DIC + 7.17 \Delta TA + 36.57 \Delta [NO_3^-])/(\text{mmol kg}^{-1})
\]  

agrees very well with the full calculations, with a misfit standard error of \( \pm 0.07 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \) and a maximum misfit of \( 0.3 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \).

The DIC coefficient is similar to the theoretical coefficient for \( \text{HCO}_3^- \) (column 7 Table 2), and both the theoretical and fitted \( NO_3^- \) coefficients are roughly comparable. The \( \approx 20\% \) difference results from both the biogeochemical relationships, as well as variations in the interionic interactions involved in conductivity.

Repeating the above procedure for \( 25 \leq S_p \leq 40 \), we find that the coefficients in the fit for \( \delta S_{\text{R}}^{\text{sol}} \) vary with salinity (Fig. 4). The coefficients for \( \Delta DIC \) and \( NO_3^- \) vary only weakly (with a change of \(< 10\% \) over the salinity range chosen) and in practical terms the variation can be ignored. However, the coefficient for \( \Delta TA \) varies strongly (\( > 50\% \) change over the salinity range chosen), and almost linearly with \( S_p \). This suggests that Eq. (31) should be modified for situations when \( S_p \neq 35 \) by replacing \( \Delta TA \) with \( \Delta TA \cdot S_p/35 \). Note that the \( \Delta \) signifies the change from SSW values at the specified salinity, e.g., the difference between observed TA and \( 2.300 - S_p/35 \text{ mmol kg}^{-1} \). We also add in the total mass of \( \text{Si(OH)}_4 \) to produce this final prediction formula:

\[
\delta S_{\text{R}}^{\text{sol}}/(\text{mg kg}^{-1}) = (47.11 \Delta DIC + 7.17(S_p/35) \Delta TA + 36.57 \Delta [NO_3^-] + 96.30(\Delta \text{Si(OH)}_4)]/(\text{mmol kg}^{-1})
\]  

Note that there may be no easy way to empirically verify the different coefficients with ocean measurements. An empirical fit to data has resulted in the following relationship

\[
\delta S_{\text{R}}^{\text{dens}}/(\text{mg kg}^{-1}) = (50.13(\Delta TA - 0.032) + 63.10(\Delta [NO_3^-] + 96.30(\Delta \text{Si(OH)}_4)]/(\text{mmol kg}^{-1})
\]  

(Miller, 2000, Eq. (3), rewritten to match the base value for \( \Delta TA \) used here and using a conversion factor of 756 between density and salinity changes as in that paper) which has a similar coefficient for \( \text{Si(OH)}_4 \), but otherwise is numerically somewhat different. However, the different constituents included are strongly correlated in the ocean. A least-squares fit to a restricted set of actual observations may therefore be rather insensitive in certain directions of the parameter space of coefficients. Thus it is most appropriate at this stage to compare these different formulas only by examining their effect on measured ocean profiles.

![Fig. 4](image1.png)  
*(Fig. 4) Coefficients of the best fit equation \( \delta S_{\text{R}}^{\text{sol}} = a \cdot TA + b \cdot DIC + c \cdot [NO_3^-] \) to model predictions, as a function of salinity. (a) Coefficient \( a \). Dashed line shows a best fit curve as a function \( TA \cdot S_p/35 \) rather than \( TA \). (b) Coefficient \( b \). (c) Coefficient \( c \).*
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*(Fig. 5) Composition perturbations for example stations: North Pacific (WOCE line P17, station 34, 37.5° N, 135.0° W, 10 August 2001), North Atlantic (WOCE line A24, station 119, 52.73° N, 34.71° W, 22 June 1997) Arctic (AO94 station 29, 87.16° N, 160.71° E, 17 August 1994) and Southern Ocean (WOCE line S04, station 29, 62.02° S, 134.18° E, 9 January 1995). (a) \( TA \) for all profiles. (b) DIC. (c) \( pH \) on the Total scale. (d) Computed change \( \Delta \text{Ca}^{2+} \) (e) \( NO_3^- \). (f) \( \text{Si(OH)}_4 \). Vertical dashed lines show values in SSW76.*
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The full calculation procedure can easily be applied to actual ocean profiles, as long as they include observations of $S_p$, TA, DIC, Si(OH)$_4$, and NO$_3^-$. These parameters are now considered to be standard for deep-ocean hydrographic observations so no modification is needed in routine procedures. The latter 4 are enough to specify the non-conservative elements, with changes in Ca$^{2+}$ inferred from Eq. (11) to maintain charge neutrality.

As an example, consider several recent high-quality hydrographic profiles from the North Atlantic, Arctic, and North Pacific, and Southern Ocean (Figs. 5–7). Previous $\delta S_R^{\text{dens}}$ estimates have been made in all regions except the Arctic.

Surface nutrients are low in all profiles except in the Southern Ocean, and surface pH relatively high, although lower than in SSW (Fig. 5). The Arctic profile has a high surface TA, which implies higher Cu$^{2+}$, and DIC, due to cold temperatures. Nutrients, TA, and DIC at depth are much higher in the North Pacific than in the other profiles. However, deep pH is much lower. Deep nutrient levels are typically higher than surface nutrients in all cases. Inferred $\Delta$Ca$^{2+}$ is high in the Arctic and Southern Ocean, and high in the deep North Pacific.

The computed salinity correction $\delta S_R^{\text{soln}(2)}$ is close to zero in the surface waters of the N. Pacific (Fig. 6a) and N. Atlantic (Fig. 6b), but is almost 0.008 in the surface waters of the Arctic (Fig. 6c). On the other hand, the correction is lowest at depth in the Arctic (only 0.003), but is as high as 0.033 in the deep North Pacific. The surface correction is highest in the Southern Ocean. The correction itself is dominated by the $\delta S_R^{\text{soln}}$ in all cases with $\delta S_R^{\text{soln}(2)} \approx 0.85 S_R^{\text{soln}}$. The increase in ionic content does result in a small change in conductivity which partially compensates for the compositional change, but as before $\delta S_R^{\text{soln}} \ll \delta S_R^{\text{soln}}$.

Comparison of calculated $\delta S_R^{\text{soln}(2)}$ with $\delta S_R^{\text{dens}}$ produced by Eq. (33) and McDougall et al. (2009) for these stations are relatively good (Fig. 7). The general shape of depth profiles and overall magnitudes are similar, although our estimates appear to be systematically slightly larger. Correction factors in the deep Pacific and shallow Arctic are large, but are small in both Pacific and Atlantic surface waters, and deep Arctic waters. Our corrections are about 0.005 larger in the deep Pacific and not very different when $\delta S_R^{\text{soln}(2)} = 0$. Widest disagreement between the three estimates occurs in the Southern Ocean. For all profiles, the model $\delta S_R^{\text{soln}(2)}$ is the largest of the 3 estimates, and the predictions of McDougall et al. (2009) the smallest.

As a final comparison, the model is used to replicate the measurements in a controlled situation where the chemistry is more precisely known. Millero (1984) measured $\delta S_R^{\text{dens}}$ (Fig. 8) for various mixtures composed of a known fraction $a$ of SSW and an artificial river water of known composition $C_{RW}$ (Table 4):

$$C_{\text{mixture}} = aC_0 + (1-a)C_{RW}$$

(34)

with $0 \leq a \leq 1$. Here we take the dilution $C_* = aC_0$ as a base state (the difference between $C^{(2)}$ and $C^{(3)}$ dilutions does not materially affect the results here), and $\delta C_*(1-a)C_{RW}$ as a
perturbation in a fixed-chlorinity calculation. The name is somewhat misleading here because the river water also contains Cl\(^-\) but this does not affect the mathematical details of the calculation. Calculations must be modified slightly when \(S_p < 5\), since the usual seawater parameterizations of the carbonate equilibria are no longer valid in this low-salinity range. They do not extrapolate correctly to pure-water limits. Instead we use low-salinity parameterizations more suitable for river and lake waters (Millero, 1995). The change of \(\delta S_{R_{\text{soln}}}\) across the transition between the two regimes is not smooth, but the size of the step is small enough that it cannot be seen in Fig. 8.

The \(\delta S_{R_{\text{soln}}}^{(1)}\) arising from perturbation computations almost exactly lies within the scatter of the observations (Fig. 8). As salinity drops and the riverine addition becomes a larger fraction of the composition, \(\delta S_{R_{\text{soln}}}\) increases. One unexpected result is that \(\delta S_{R_{\text{soln}}}^{(1)}\) increases roughly linearly with decreases in salinity only at high salinities. When \(S_R\) drops below about 10 g kg\(^{-1}\), \(\delta S_p\) curves upwards quite sharply, so that the \(\delta S_{R_{\text{soln}}}^{(1)}\) curve flattens and even decreases at very low salinities. The observations do not appear to show this, although their scatter is large enough that this behavior cannot be ruled out. However, at low salinities where the Pa08 model is known to be accurate (unpublished results), it can be applied directly to \(C_{\text{mixture}}\) and the alternative estimate \(\delta S_p\) used in place of the perturbation calculation for \(\delta S_p\). Results agree almost exactly with the perturbation model, showing the same curvature. Agreement is good at low salinities because the bias in Pa08 is small, and is good at high salinities because the river water perturbation is very small.

Finally, for \(a=0\) Pa08 directly predicts a conductivity of 142 \(\mu\)S cm\(^{-1}\), which can then be used with PSS-78 to compute \(S_p=0.0686\) and hence \(\delta S_{R_{\text{soln}}} = 0.0388\) g kg\(^{-1}\) independently of the seawater perturbation model. The perturbation model does approach these values in the limit as \(a\rightarrow 0\). Note however that this limit is not a good indicator of the zero-salinity intercept of a best-fit line through the observations, especially those from salinities >5 g kg\(^{-1}\), typical of most estuarine waters, because of the curvature in \(\delta S_p\). Such a best fit line would intercept the left axis at rather higher values. Overall, however, although the particular chemistry of the oceanic perturbations may result in different errors than those associated with riverine dilutions, there do not appear to be any general biases present.

### 4 Discussion and conclusions

The combination of a chemical model of seawater and a conductivity model allows the effects of compositional perturbations on conductivity-based methods of salinity determination to be estimated. An immediate result is that conductivity itself is relatively insensitive to biogeochemical perturbations to the chemical composition of seawater. In fixed chlorinity calculations, \(\delta S_p\) increases by less than 0.007 over the range of waters investigated in the world ocean, while \(\delta S_{A_{\text{soln}}}\) increases by up to 0.04 g kg\(^{-1}\). Numerical values of \(S_R\)

---

**Table 4.** Composition \(C_{\text{gw}}\) of artificial river water used by Millero (1984). Numbers have been adjusted to correct for typographical errors in Millero et al. (1976) and to agree best with stated values of both molar and mass concentrations in that paper, after rounding. TA is set by charge balance, with DIC carbonate ion concentrations computed from TA and pH using the low-salinity carbonate system parameterizations of Millero (1995) when \(S_p<5\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Concentration (mmol kg(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca(^{2+})</td>
<td>0.3745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(^{2+})</td>
<td>0.1685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(^+)</td>
<td>0.2740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(^+)</td>
<td>0.0590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO(_4^{2-})</td>
<td>0.1165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl(^-)</td>
<td>0.2200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO(_3^-)</td>
<td>0.0160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO(_3^-)</td>
<td>0.9434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO(_2^{3-})</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO(_2)</td>
<td>0.0440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH(^-)</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA</td>
<td>0.9500 meq kg(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC</td>
<td>0.9905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(S_{A_{\text{soln}}})</td>
<td>0.1074 g kg(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bacon et al. (2000), in spite of the known Mc-Dougall et al. (2009; Millero, 2000) the model calculations suggest that it is not Millero (1975) and Mc-Dougall et al. is in error. Imagine that instead of increasing Ca milling quantities, or river endpoints, are qualitatively useful but may in practice be less relevant to oceanic situations than might be otherwise be expected. Conversely, extrapolations of linear fits to measurements in estuarine waters will not necessarily agree with observations of river end-members.

Another result is that the effects of perturbations at typical oceanic salinities are approximately linear functions of salinity, but that this linear behavior does not extrapolate well to behavior at low salinities (Sp < 5). At low salinities carbonate composition and δSp become much more nonlinear functions of salinity. Thus generalizations based on infinite dilution quantities, or river endpoints, are qualitatively useful but may in practice be less relevant to oceanic situations than might be otherwise expected. Conversely, extrapolations of linear fits to measurements in estuarine waters will not necessarily agree with observations of river end-members.

However, although the general agreement between calculated δeRsoln and density-based estimates like δeRdens is good, differences remain. The differences are not very much larger than the typical uncertainty arising from density measurements, but are systematic. There are several possible explanations for these differences.

First, the Pa08 conductivity model may be inadequate to correctly calculate perturbations in this application. The scatter in comparisons between predictions and observations in Fig. 3 suggests that the model bias may still depend to some extent on chemical composition. It is difficult to fully address this issue without more data for comparison. However, the good agreement with the dataset on mixtures of artificial river water and seawater (Fig. 8) suggests that model performance is adequate in at least some cases, even when the perturbations become very large. Agreement between the fixed chlorinity calculation for δeRsoln(1) (Eq. 27) and the fixed conductivity calculation for δeRsoln(2) (Eq. 29) for the case of biogeochemical perturbations is also very good. The maximum difference between the two is only 0.0007 g kg⁻¹. Since each calculation involves somewhat different changes to the chemical composition, and different assumptions about bias correction, this also suggests that these composition-dependent model errors are almost an order of magnitude smaller compared to the differences between model-estimated and density estimated salinity corrections.

Second, the overall comparison between the model and the other predictions in Fig. 7 can (perhaps) be improved by decreasing all calculated δeRsoln by a small (constant) amount. Differences between the predictions will then be both positive and negative, instead of mostly positive. Constant increases or decreases will result from changes in the specified DIC content of SSW76. As discussed in Sect. 2.1 it is not possible at this time to precisely define the DIC content of SSS, and the appropriate value may have to be “tuned” to allow predictions and observations of δeRsoln to match. The value used in this paper results in δeRsoln ≈ 0 in the surface North Atlantic. However, reducing DIC in SSW76 to provide a better match in the North Pacific would result in a negative δeRsoln in the surface North Atlantic.

A third possibility is that the biogeochemical model (Eq. 11) is in error. Imagine that instead of increasing Ca²⁺ by ≈ 100 µmol kg⁻¹ SO₄²⁻ is decreased by a similar amount according to Eq. (12). Since these ions have different effects on conductivity, the change would decrease δeRsoln in the North Pacific by as much as 0.007 g kg⁻¹ from our present estimates, which would (again) account for much of the difference. Sulfate reduction may be an important process on shelves (and in anoxic basins), but its importance in the open ocean is less easy to determine.

Fourth, it is possible that these differences reflect inadequacies in the empirical algorithms of Millero (2000) and Mc-Dougall et al. (2009) used to calculate the corrections. The database of density measurements used to determine these different algorithms may simply not be large enough to correctly characterize the whole ocean and extrapolations to unsampled regions may not be completely valid. A more detailed comparison with the existing database of density measurements may help to resolve this issue.

A different and more fundamental explanation for disagreements, especially in the North Pacific, may be that the true correction δeRsoln value calculated from our model might not be equivalent to the “effective” correction δeRdens computed from density measurements, which is merely chosen to produce the correct density when the equation of state is applied using salinity as a state variable. Agreement between the two estimates depends partly on the definition of salinity, and partly on the haline contraction coefficient being similar for perturbations with different composition.

The haline contraction coefficient is a measure of the density change related to a particular salinity change. The working assumption for Mc-Dougall et al. (2009) is that the density change arising from a given mass change will be insensitive to the composition of the change. The haline contraction coefficient is then calculated from the equation of state, equivalent to assuming that all constituents change in the proportions already found in seawater. This assumption has been shown to be true within practical limits for typical low-salinity river waters, and for mixtures of artificial river and seawaters (Millero, 1975). The agreement between
the perturbation model and density-based measurements for the case of artificial river water mixtures (Fig. 8) also suggests that this is likely not a large factor at least in some cases. However, this previous work has not considered the full range of compositional variations, and, in particular, the biogeochemical perturbations in seawater.

Biogeochemical perturbations involve nutrients, carbonates, and dissolved gases. Perhaps fortuitously, changes in the concentrations of the dissolved gases (which for O$_2$ in particular are well above the 1 mg kg$^{-1}$ threshold) have virtually no effect of density (Watanabe and Iizuka, 1985). Thus their neglect in the usual definition of salinity has not been important in the past. However, the haline contraction coefficient for Si(OH)$_4$ at least is slightly less than half of that for typical ions in natural freshwaters and seawaters (Wüst et al., 1996). That is, the density change resulting from a given change in the mass of Si(OH)$_4$ is slightly less than half that for a change of the same mass of the typical ions in natural waters. Thus density measurements converted to mass changes using a haline contraction coefficient derived from the density equation will underestimate the true salinity variation arising from silicate addition. Investigation of this issue should be possible using an appropriate model for density.

An additional issue is that the definition of salinity $S_{A}^{\text{ohn}}$ (Eq. 2), although apparently straightforward, is not completely suitable for the purpose of quantifying small compositional changes in the ocean. Chemical reactions within seawater mean that the chemical formulas for ions present are not identical to the solids added. Si is actually added to seawater in the form of SiO$_2$ which then dissolves and combines with H$_2$O, so the appropriate weighting factor for Si(OH)$_4$, say in Eq. (32), may be the molar mass of SiO$_2$, (60.08 g mol$^{-1}$) rather than that of Si(OH)$_4$. That is, the added 2H$_2$O may be inappropriately included in the estimate of the mass of dissolved solids. Thus the calculated $\Delta S_{R}^{\text{ohn}}$ overestimates the actual salinity change.

Reducing the Si(OH)$_4$ coefficient in Eq. (32) in this way reduces $\Delta S_{R}^{\text{ohn}}$ by 0.006 g kg$^{-1}$ in our model NPIW (Table 3) and removes much of the difference in the North Pacific at depths greater than 2000 m (Fig. 7). However, other factors must also be important because there are only very small changes in predictions for the Arctic and North Atlantic, or indeed in any profile at depths shallower than 1000 m. Changes in the Southern Ocean are larger, but still not sufficient to completely explain the differences.

A similar argument may be made for the mass of NO$_3^-$, which results from the remineralization of organic N and is therefore associated with a decrease in O$_2$ already present in the water column. The dissolved gas O$_2$ is not included in the definition of salinity, but when these atoms combine with N their mass then becomes part of the calculated salinity. However, the resulting changes in calculated salinity due to this inconsistency are only 0.002 g kg$^{-1}$ for model NPIW, relatively small compared to those that arise from Si reactions.

Larger corrections arise from consideration of the O$_2$ and H$_2$O that accompany the dissolution of organic carbon and its conversion to carbonates. In model NPIW the DIC increase is 300 mol kg$^{-1}$ and the carbonate component of $S_{A}^{\text{ohn}}$ increases by 0.018 g kg$^{-1}$ (Table 3) using the definition of Eq. (2). However, the true added mass of dissolved carbon-related material, partly remineralized organic C and partly CO$_3^-$ from dissolved CaCO$_3$, is only 0.008 g kg$^{-1}$. Remineralization involves the combination of 0.0025 g kg$^{-1}$ of organic C with almost 0.007 g kg$^{-1}$ of O$_2$ to produce CO$_2$. The remainder of the discrepancy is due to the dissolution of H$_2$O in the chemical reactions governing the carbonate equilibria.

Thus it is possible that by rewriting the definition for the absolute salinity of compositional perturbations, to more precisely reflect the actual addition of dissolved material, the numerical values for $\Delta S_{R}^{\text{ohn}}$ can be made smaller by as much as 0.018 g kg$^{-1}$ for NPIW. This can be accomplished without any change in the actual composition, conductivity, or density of the water. Such a variation is more than enough to account for the observed differences. Again, a more detailed comparison with measured $\Delta S_{R}^{\text{ohn}}$ would be required to determine whether this would be useful. One drawback of this approach is that such a redefinition would involve specifying the biogeochemical processes involved, which would make the result less general. In addition, including O$_2$ decreases in the definition of salinity perturbations, but not in the definition of $S_{A}^{\text{ohn}}$ itself, could create other inconsistencies.

The differences do not arise because the temperature of calculations was set at 25$^\circ$C, even though in-situ conductivities used to determine observations of $S_p$ are generally measured at much lower temperatures. Conductivity is highly temperature-dependent, and this dependence varies with composition. For SSW, changes in temperature will not result in a change in $S_p$ because the PSS-78 formula (Eq. 1) accounts for the effects of temperature variations. However, the temperature effect is slightly different for perturbed compositions. The correction factor $\tau$ when comparing conductivities at 25$^\circ$C to those at a temperature $\theta$, defined as:

\[
\frac{\kappa(\beta C_0 + \delta C_0, 25^\circ C)}{\kappa(\beta C_0 + \delta C_0, \theta)} = \frac{\kappa(C_0, 25^\circ C)}{\kappa(C_0, \theta)} (1 + \tau)
\]

(35)

can be estimated using $\kappa_{p,08}$ for $\kappa$. For $\delta C_0$ representing model NPIW, and $\theta = 1^\circ$C, $\tau \approx -1 \times 10^{-5}$, which changes $\delta S_A$ by less than $-0.0004$ g kg$^{-1}$. This is negligible relative to the other effects discussed above.

Although the temperature effect is not important, we cannot determine at present which (if any) of the other possible explanations is most important in resolving the differences. However, it appears more likely that they result from uncertainties in the chemical model and/or the definition of salinity rather than from any fundamental problems with the conductivity calculation. It will be important to model density as well as conductivity to fully understand the source of these differences in ocean observations.
In summary, although conductivity measurements have been of primary importance to oceanographic studies for at least a generation our knowledge of the relationship between conductivity and salinity has been purely empirical. There remain many uncertainties about the reliability and predictability of standard procedures. Development of a theory with which variations can be studied is therefore potentially important for studies in many different areas.

For example, it has been shown here that biogeochemical processes have a measurable effect on the conductivity/salinity relationship. This suggests that comparisons between more comprehensive datasets of direct density measurements and our predictions may be useful in constraining future research into these processes. Even in the shallow ocean, the changing composition due to changes in atmospheric CO$_2$ may affect salinity estimates and this model provides a way of investigating the effects of such changes. In coastal and estuarine systems, compositional variations are known to affect density and other properties (e.g. Millero et al., 1976). Comparisons of density and $S_p$ measurements may then be useful in estimating the pools (and eventually residence times) of the constituents of these variations.

In addition to these purely scientific concerns, the availability of this theory may be useful in solving a number of technical issues arising in current practices. The extrapolation of $S_p$ estimates into waters for which no density measurements are available (as done by McDougall et al. (2009) to provide correction factors in coastal and Arctic regions) can be confirmed if information is available about compositional perturbations. The effects of pressure, which can lead to small changes in the chemical equilibria of the carbonate system, can also be investigated. Finally, there are possible uncertainties in the reliability of SSW for highest precision measurements (Kawano et al., 2006), although it is unclear why these variations arise (Bacon et al., 2007). Again, the model developed here may be useful in resolving some of these technical issues.
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